arXiv:math/0306333v4 [math.RT] 10 Mar 2005

SEMI-LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF *PGL*

M.ROVINSKY

Abstract. Let L be the function field of a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, and H be the group of projective transformations. An H-sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ is a collection of isomorphisms $\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow g^*\mathcal{V}$ for each $g \in H$ satisfying the chain rule.

We construct, for any $n > 1$, a fully faithful functor from the category of finite-dimensional L-semi-linear representations of H extendable to the semi-group $\mathrm{End}(L/k)$ to the category of coherent H-sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

The paper is motivated by a study of admissible representations of the automorphism group G of an algebraically closed extension of k of countable transcendence degree undertaken in [R]. The semi-group $\mathrm{End}(L/k)$ is considered as a subquotient of G, hence the condition on extendability.

In the appendix it is shown that, if $\tilde{H}$ is either H, or a bigger subgroup in the Cremona group (generated by H and a certain pair of involutions), then any semi-linear $\tilde{H}$-representation of degree one is an integral L-tensor power of $\det_L \Omega^1_{L/k}$. It is shown also that this bigger subgroup has no non-trivial representations of finite degree if $n > 1$.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field, G a semigroup of endomorphisms of F and $k = F^G$.

An F-semi-linear G-representation is an F-space V with a k-linear G-action such that $\sigma(a \cdot v) = \sigma a \cdot \sigma v$ for any $\sigma \in G$, $v \in V$ and $a \in F$. This is the same as a module over the associative central k-algebra $F\langle G\rangle := F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[G]$ with the evident left action of F and the diagonal left action of G. We say that a semi-linear G-representation is *non-degenerate* if the action of each element of G is injective. Semi-linear G-representations finite-dimensional over F form an abelian tensor k-linear category. This category is rigid if the elements of G are invertible. The set of isomorphism classes of non-degenerate semi-linear F-representations of G of degree r is canonically identified with the set $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r F)$.

1.1. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and $k_\infty := \cup_{j\geq 0} k_j$, where $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ and k_{j+1} is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by all roots in k of all elements of k_j. Suppose that k contains all ℓ-primary roots of unity for some prime $\ell \geq 2$. Let L be the function field of a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ over k, and $G_n \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$ be the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ over k. A *G_n-structure* on a sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ is a collection of isomorphisms $\alpha_g : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*\mathcal{V}$ for each $g \in G_n$ satisfying the chain rule: $\alpha_{hg} = g^*\alpha_h \circ \alpha_g$ for any $g, h \in G_n$. A *G_n-sheaf* is a sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ endowed with a G_n-structure.

Let $\mathfrak{SL}_n$ be the full subcategory of the category of L-semi-linear representations of G_n, whose objects are restrictions of finite-dimensional L-semi-linear representations of the semi-group $\mathrm{End}(L/k)$ to G_n.

The author was supported in part by RFBR grant 02-01-22005.

In this paper, for any $n \geq 2$, we construct a fully faithful functor

$$\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \{\text{coherent } G_n\text{-sheaves on } \mathbb{P}^n_k\}.$$

The construction of the functor $\mathcal{S}$ proceeds as follows. Fix a maximal split torus T in G_n, and extend such a semi-linear representation V to the semigroup $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{dom}}(Y/k) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z} \ltimes T$ of dominant endomorphisms of the n-dimensional T-orbit $Y \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. First we show (Proposition 5.4) that the restriction of V to $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\neq 0} \ltimes T$, where $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\neq 0}$ is a "maximal split torus" in $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z}$, is induced by a representation. An analytic argument (Lemma 5.2, we use here the assumption on existence of ℓ-primary roots of unity in k) reduces this problem to a local result (Theorem 4.5) asserting that any $k((t))$-semi-linear representation of the semi-group $\mathbb{N}$ (acting on the formal Laurent series field $k((t))$ by $p : t \mapsto t^p$) is induced by a representation.

This implies (Lemma 5.3) that $V \longmapsto V^{T_{\mathrm{tors}}}$ gives a "fibre functor", i.e. $V = V^{T_{\mathrm{tors}}} \otimes_k L$, to the category of unipotent k-representations of T. Then, using more technical results of §6, for each hyperplane H stabilized by T and for any k_∞-lattice U_0 in the unipotent radical U of the stabilizer P of H, we construct (in Lemma 6.3), another "fibre functor" $V \longmapsto V^{U_0}$ to the category of unipotent k-representations of U, so that the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - H)$-lattice $\mathcal{V}_H$ in V spanned by V^{U_0} is P-invariant and independent of U_0.

Localizing this lattice and varying H, one gets a coherent G_n-subsheaf $\mathcal{V}$ of the constant sheaf V on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ so that $\mathcal{V}|_Y = V^{T_{\mathrm{tors}}} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y = V^{U_0} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n_k - H, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}_H$.

If $k = k_\infty$, one checks that the G_n-action on the total space E of the vector bundle corresponding to $\mathcal{V}$ comes from a morphism of k-varieties $G_n \times_k E \longrightarrow E$, so the functor $\mathcal{S}$ factors through the category of G_n-equivariant coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, equivalent to the category of rational representations over k of finite degree of the stabilizer of a point of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

For k transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$ the objects of $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}_n$ are not equivariant sheaves anymore. For instance, there is a family of pairwise non-isomorphic semi-linear representations $\Omega^1_L/H \otimes_k L$ parametrized by the hyperplanes H in the k-space Ω^1_k. A choice of a non-zero element $v \in \Omega^1_k/H \cong k$ determines a non-split extension $0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{v\cdot} \Omega^1_L/H \otimes_k L \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{L/k} \longrightarrow 0$.

1.2. The paper is motivated by a study of admissible representations[1] of the automorphism group G of an algebraically closed extension F of k of countable transcendence degree undertaken in [R]. One can expect that any such representation is contained in appropriate admissible semi-linear representation, cf. §7.2. In §7.1 an abelian category $\mathcal{P}$ of compatible systems of semi-linear representations of Cremona groups $Cr_n(k)$ is introduced and a faithful functor $\{\text{smooth } F\text{-semi-linear representations of } G\} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{P}$ is constructed.

It is well-known that any irreducible G_n-equivariant coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}}((\Omega^n_{\mathbb{P}^n_k/k})^{\otimes^i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}}}, \bigotimes^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}} \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^n_k/k})$ for an appropriate $i \geq 0$.

Then Theorem 6.5 and the results presented in §7 suggest that irreducible admissible representations of G are contained in the algebra of relative differential forms $\Omega^\bullet_{F/k}$. If the same is true for any irreducible object of $\mathcal{I}_G$ (cf. §7.2, p.18) then for any smooth proper variety with no regular differential forms of degree ≥ 2 the Albanese map identifies the group of classes of 0-cycles of degree zero modulo rational equivalence with the Albanese variety, cf. Corollary 7.9. This would also imply a description of pure motives as admissible G-modules, cf. Corollary 7.8.

---

[1] A representation of a topological group is called *smooth* if the stabilizers are open. A smooth representation of is called *admissible* if the subspace fixed by any open subgroup is finite-dimensional.

1.3. In the appendix semi-linear representations of degree one are studied in more detail. The main results there are Corollary A.2 and Proposition B.1, where it is shown that if L is the function field of a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero and G is either the group of projective transformations, or a certain bigger subgroup in the Cremona group, then any semi-linear G-representation of degree one is an integral L-tensor power of $\det_L \Omega^1_{L/k}$. This bigger subgroup has such an advantage that it has no non-trivial representations of finite degree if $n \geq 2$ (cf. Proposition B.2), so at least this source of unexpected semi-linear representations is excluded.

2. Examples of semi-linear G-representations

In the first example G is a (semi-)group generated by a single element T. Such situation (in a greater generality) was studied in [O]. Let V be an F-semi-linear G-representation of degree N admitting a cyclic vector v, i.e., such that $\{v, Tv, \dots, T^{N-1}v\}$ is an F-base of V. Then $T^N v = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h_j T^j v$ for some $h_0, \dots, h_{N-1} \in F$, so the matrix of T in this base looks as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & h_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & h_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & h_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

The following well-known result shows that this situation is typical.

Lemma 2.1. *Let σ be an endomorphism of a field F of infinite order. Then any non-degenerate F-semi-linear representation of σ of finite degree is cyclic.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on degree (or length) N, the case $N = 1$ being trivial. For $N > 1$ let $0 \neq V_0 \subset V$ be an irreducible subrepresentation. By induction assumption, V/V_0 is generated by some $\overline{v} \in V/V_0$. Choose a lift $v \in V$ of $\overline{v}$.

Suppose that V is not cyclic. Then $V = V_0 \oplus \langle v + w \rangle$ for any $w \in V_0$. Then the left ideal $Ann(v)$ is contained in the two-sided ideal $Ann(V_0)$. If a non-zero two-sided ideal contains $\sigma^m + f_{m-1}\sigma^{m-1} + \dots + f_0$ with minimal possible $m \geq 0$ then it contains also $\sigma^m + f_{m-1}\frac{\sigma^{m-1}\lambda}{\sigma^m\lambda}\sigma^{m-1} + \dots + f_0\frac{\lambda}{\sigma^m\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in F^\times$, so $f_{m-1} = \dots = f_0 = 0$. As $\dim_F \langle v \rangle = \dim_F(F\langle\sigma\rangle/Ann(v)) \leq N < \infty$, one has $Ann(v) \neq 0$. In particular, $Ann(V_0) \neq 0$ and $\sigma^m(V_0) = 0$ for some $m \geq 0$, which contradicts our assumptions, and thus, V is cyclic. $\square$

2.1. **Linear and semi-linear representations.** To any subfield k' in F invariant under the G-action and to any finite-dimensional semi-linear k'-representation V_0 of G one associates the semi-linear F-representation $V_0 \otimes_{k'} F$ of G. On the level of isomorphism classes of semi-linear representations of G of degree r this operation coincides with the natural map

$$H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r k') \longrightarrow H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r F). \tag{1}$$

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for injectivity of the map (1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let k' be a Galois extension of k in F. If the G-orbit of any element of $F-k'$ spans a k'-subspace in F of dimension $> r^2$ then the map (1) is injective.*

Proof. Let (a_σ) and (a'_σ) be two 1-cocycles representing some classes in $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r k')$. Suppose that they become the same in $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r F)$, i.e., there is an element $b \in \mathrm{GL}_r F$ such that $a_\sigma = b^{-1} a'_\sigma \sigma b$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Equivalently, $b a_\sigma = a'_\sigma \sigma b$ for all $\sigma \in G$. If $b \notin \mathrm{GL}_r k'$, i.e., there are some $1 \le s, t \le r$ such that $b_{st} \notin k'$, then there is $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma b_{st} \notin \langle b_{ij} \mid 1 \le i, j \le r \rangle_{k'}$, which contradicts $b a_\sigma = a'_\sigma \sigma b$. This means that $b \in \mathrm{GL}_r k'$, and thus, the classes of (a_σ) and (a'_σ) in $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_r k')$ coincide. □

In opposite direction, let V be an F-semi-linear G-representation, and $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ an F-linear representation. Set $V_\rho := \{w \in V \mid \sigma w = \rho(\sigma) w\}$. Then V_ρ is a k-space.

Lemma 2.3. *The natural map $F \otimes_k V_\rho \longrightarrow V$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \subset V_\rho$ be linear independent over F. Suppose that $w = \sum_j \lambda_j w_j \in V_\rho$. Then $\sigma w - \rho(\sigma) w = \sum_j (\sigma\lambda_j - \lambda_j)\rho(\sigma) w_j = 0$, and therefore, $\sigma\lambda_j = \lambda_j$ for any j, i.e., $\lambda_j \in k$. □

Remark. The irreducibility of a representation W of G over k does not imply the irreducibility of the F-semi-linear G-representation $F \otimes_k W$.

For example, let Q be a finite-dimensional k-space, $F = k(\mathbb{P}(Q))$ be the function field of its projectivization, $G = \mathrm{PGL}(Q)$, and $W = \mathfrak{sl}(Q)$ be the adjoint representation of G. We identify W with the global vector fields on $\mathbb{P}(Q)$, thus getting a non-injective surjection $W \otimes_k L \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(L/k)$.

3. SOME SEMI-LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF GROUPS EXHAUSTED BY FINITE SUBGROUPS

Let F be a field, G be a group of field automorphisms of F and $H_1 \lhd H_2 \subseteq G$. Set $L := F^{H_1}$. Suppose that $H := H_2/H_1$ is exhausted by its finite subgroups, i.e., H is a torsion group and any pair of its finite subgroups generates a finite subgroup.

By Hilbert Theorem 90, one has

$$Z^1(H, GL_N L) = \lim_{\longleftarrow \Phi} GL_N L^\Phi \backslash GL_N L, \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(H, GL_N L) = Z^1(H, GL_N L)/GL_N L,$$

where Φ runs over the set of finite subgroups in H.

3.1. Endomorphisms and contractions.

Suppose that $\xi \in G$ induces an endomorphism of H, i.e., $\xi^{-1} H_2 \xi \subseteq H_2$ and $\xi^{-1} H_1 \xi \subseteq H_1$. Given an F-semi-linear G-representation V, consider V^{H_1}. This is an L-semi-linear H-representation with an injective semi-linear ξ-action: for any $h \in H_1$ and any $v \in V^{H_1}$ one has $h(\xi v) = \xi(\xi^{-1} h \xi) v = \xi v$, so $\xi v \in V^{H_1}$.

Let $N = \dim_L V^{H_1}$. For any $\zeta \in H$ one has $f_\zeta \cdot \zeta f_\xi = f_\xi \cdot \xi f_{\xi^{-1}\zeta\xi} \in \mathrm{GL}_N L$. As $f_\zeta = f^{-1} \cdot \zeta f$ for some $f \in \lim_{\longleftarrow \Phi} \mathrm{GL}_N L^\Phi \backslash \mathrm{GL}_N L$, this implies that $(\xi f)^{-1} \cdot \zeta(\xi f) = (f \cdot f_\xi)^{-1} \cdot \zeta(f \cdot f_\xi)$, so $f \cdot f_\xi = \xi f \in \lim_{\longleftarrow \Phi} \mathrm{GL}_N L^\Phi \backslash \mathrm{GL}_N L$.

Example. Suppose that ξ contracts H, i.e., for any $\zeta \in H_2$ there is $s \geq 1$ such that $\xi^{-s}\zeta\xi^{s} \in H_1$. Then $\zeta(\xi^s g) = \xi^s(\xi^{-s}\zeta\xi^s)g = \xi^s g$, i.e., $\xi^s g \in \mathrm{GL}_N L^{\langle\zeta\rangle}$ for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N L$. This implies that for any $f \in Z^1(H, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$ as above there exists the limit $\lim\limits_{s\to\infty} \xi^s f \cdot f_{\xi^s}^{-1} = \lim\limits_{s\to\infty} f_{\xi^s}^{-1} = \cdots \xi^3 f_{\xi}^{-1} \cdot \xi^2 f_{\xi}^{-1} \cdot \xi f_{\xi}^{-1} \cdot f_{\xi}^{-1} = f$, so there is a bijection $\mathrm{GL}_N L \xrightarrow{\sim} Z^1(H \rtimes \langle\xi\rangle, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$ sending $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N L$ to $f_\xi = g$, $f_\zeta = f_{\xi^s} \cdot \zeta f_{\xi^s}^{-1} = f^{-1} \cdot \zeta f$ if $\zeta \in H$ and $\xi^s(\zeta) = 1$.

4. Local problem: $k((t))$-semi-linear $\mathbb{N}$-representations

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers acting on $k((t))$ by $p : t \mapsto t^p$. In this section we show that any semi-linear representation of $\mathbb{N}$ finite-dimensional over $k((t))$ is induced by a k-representation.

Lemma 4.1. *$H^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k[[t]]) \hookrightarrow H^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k((t)))$ and $H^1(S, 1 + t\mathfrak{gl}_N k[[t]]) = \{*\}$ for any subsemigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We may suppose that $S \neq \{1\}$. For some $p \in S - \{1\}$ and $(f_\ell) \in Z^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k[[t]])$. set $B := f_p^{-1} - f_p(0)^{-1} \in t\mathfrak{gl}_N k[[t]]$. As $f_p(0)^{s+1} f_{p^{s+1}}^{-1} = f_p(0)^{s+1}(f_p(0)^{-1} + \text{"}p^s\text{"}B) f_{p^s}^{-1} = f_p(0)^s f_{p^s}^{-1} + f_p(0)^{s+1} \cdot p^s B \cdot f_{p^s}^{-1}$, there is the limit $\Phi := \lim\limits_{s\to\infty} f_{p^s}(0) f_{p^s}(t)^{-1} = \lim\limits_{s\to\infty} f_p(0)^s f_{p^s}^{-1} \in \mathbf{1}_N + t\mathfrak{gl}_N k[[t]]$. Then $\Phi(t) f_p(t) \Phi(t^p)^{-1} = f_p(0)$, so we may suppose that $f_p \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ and $f_p = \mathbf{1}_N$ if $f_p \equiv \mathbf{1}_N \pmod{t}$. As $f_p^{-1} f_\ell(t) f_p = f_\ell(t^p)$, this implies that $f_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ for any $\ell \in S$ and $f_\ell = \mathbf{1}_N$ if $f_\ell \equiv \mathbf{1}_N \pmod{t}$. The injectivity statement follows from a similar argument. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathrm{Hom}(S, k^\times) \times d(S)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(S, k((t))^\times)$ for any non-trivial subsemigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, where $d(S)$ is the greatest common divisor of $s - 1$ for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, one has $H^1(S, 1 + tk[[t]]) = 0$. Clearly, $H^1(\langle p\rangle, t^{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ for any $p \geq 2$. As $k((t))^\times \cong \mathbb{Z} \times k^\times \times (1 + tk[[t]])$, one has $H^1(\langle p\rangle, k((t))^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} \times k^\times$. Then we may assume that $f_p \in t^{m_p} k^\times$ for some $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $f_\ell(t^p) = f_\ell(t) t^{(\ell-1)m_p}$. As $f_\ell = a_\ell t^{m_\ell} \varphi_\ell$ for some $a_\ell \in k^\times$, $m_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_\ell \in 1 + tk[[t]]$, we conclude that $\varphi_\ell = 1$ and $\frac{m_\ell}{\ell-1} = \frac{m_p}{p-1}$, i.e., that $\frac{m_\ell}{\ell-1} \in \frac{1}{d(S)}\mathbb{Z}$ does not depend on ℓ. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *If $f(t) \in \mathfrak{gl}_N k[[t]] \cap \mathrm{GL}_N k((t))$ and $\ell \geq 2$ then there is an element $g(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k[[t]]$ such that the matrix $g(t)^{-1} f(t) g(t^\ell)$ is blockwise upper triangular with at most two diagonal blocks: one invertible and constant, and another nilpotent modulo t.*

Proof. Fix an element $A \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ sending first $\mathrm{rk} f(0)^N$ coordinate vectors to $\mathrm{Im} f(0)^N$ and the rest to $\ker f(0)^N$. Then the matrix $A^{-1} f(0) A$ is blockwise diagonal with two non-zero blocks, the first one invertible and the second one nilpotent. So we may suppose that $f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$, where $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m k[[t]]$, $0 \leq m \leq N$, $H \in \mathfrak{gl}_{N-m} k[[t]]$ is nilpotent modulo t, $F \in t\mathrm{Mat}_{m\times(N-m)} k[[t]]$ and $G \in t\mathrm{Mat}_{(N-m)\times m} k[[t]]$.

Let $C_0(t) = G(t)E(t)^{-1} \in t\mathrm{Mat}_{(N-m)\times m} k[[t]]$ and $C_{j+1}(t) = (G(t) + H(t)C_j(t^\ell))(E(t) + F(t)C_j(t^\ell))^{-1}$ for any $j \geq 0$. By induction on $j \geq 1$ we check that $C_j \equiv C_{j-1} \pmod{t^{\ell^j}}$. For $j = 1$ this follows from $t^\ell | C_0(t^\ell)$.

Suppose now that $C_j \equiv C_{j-1} \pmod{t^{\ell j}}$ for some $j \geq 1$. Then

$$C_j(t^\ell) \equiv C_{j-1}(t^\ell) \pmod{t^{\ell j+1}}, \quad \text{and therefore,}$$
$$\begin{aligned}&(G(t)+H(t)C_j(t^\ell))(E(t)+F(t)C_j(t^\ell))^{-1}\\ &\quad\equiv (G(t)+H(t)C_{j-1}(t^\ell))(E(t)+F(t)C_{j-1}(t^\ell))^{-1} \pmod{t^{\ell j+1}},\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $C_{j+1} \equiv C_j \pmod{t^{\ell j+1}}$. This implies that the sequence $(C_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is convergent in $t\mathrm{Mat}_{(N-m)\times m}k[[t]]$. Denote by $C(t)$ its limit. Then $C(t)F(t)C(t^\ell) = G(t)-C(t)E(t)+H(t)C(t^\ell)$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{pmatrix} E(t)+F(t)C(t^\ell) & F(t) \\ G(t)-C(t)E(t)+H(t)C(t^\ell)-C(t)F(t)C(t^\ell) & H(t)-C(t)F(t)\end{pmatrix}\\ &\qquad = \begin{pmatrix} E'(t) & F(t) \\ 0 & H'(t)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ -C(t) & \mathbf{1}_{N-m}\end{pmatrix} f(t) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ C(t^\ell) & \mathbf{1}_{N-m}\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

where $E' \in \mathrm{GL}_m k[[t]]$ and H' is nilpotent modulo t, since $C \equiv 0 \pmod t$. The rest follows from Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a subsemigroup containing a pair of coprime elements $q, \ell \geq 2$. If $f_p(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k((t))$ are upper triangular and $f_p(t)f_\ell(t^p) = f_\ell(t)f_p(t^\ell)$ for all $p \in S$, then there is an element $g(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k((t))$ such that $g(t)^{-1}f_p(t)g(t^p) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ for all $p \in S$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $N \geq 1$, the case $N = 1$ follows from Lemma 4.2. Let $f_p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A_p(t) & B_p(t) \\ 0 & D_p(t)\end{pmatrix}$. By induction assumption, acting by a blockwise diagonal coboundary, we may suppose that $A_p \in \mathrm{GL}_{N-1}k$ and $D_p \in k^\times$. The commutativity condition becomes $A_pB_\ell(t^p)+B_p(t)D_\ell = A_\ell B_p(t^\ell)+B_\ell(t)D_p$, or $c_p\varphi_\ell(t^p) + \varphi_p(t) = c_\ell\varphi_p(t^\ell)+\varphi_\ell(t)$, where $c_p = A_pD_p^{-1}$ and $\varphi_p(t) = B_p(t)D_p^{-1}$. If we replace $f_p(t)$ by $f'_p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N-1} & -B \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} f_p \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N-1} & B(t^p) \\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_p & A_pB(t^p)+B_p-BD_p \\ 0 & D_p\end{pmatrix}$ then $\varphi'_\ell = \varphi_\ell + c_\ell B(t^\ell) - B$. After an appropriate choice of $B \in k[t^{-1}]$ (as $ht^{-\ell m}$ is 'cohomologeous to $c_\ell^{-1}ht^{-m}$'), we may assume that $\varphi_\ell \in (\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t^{-j}k[t^{-\ell}]+k[[t]])^{N-1}$.

Let $t^m\varphi_\ell \in k[[t]]^{N-1}$ for minimal possible m. Suppose that $m \geq 1$. Then m is not divisible by ℓ. Let $p \in S - \{1\}$ be prime to ℓ. By induction on $s \geq 0$, one checks that $\varphi_p(t) = \Phi_s(t) + c_\ell^{s+1}\varphi_p(t^{\ell^{s+1}})$, where $\Phi_s(t) = \sum_{j=0}^s c_\ell^j(\varphi_\ell(t^{\ell^j}) - c_p\varphi_\ell(t^{\ell^j p}))$. Clearly, $k[[t]]^{N-1}$ contains $t^{\ell^s pm}\Phi_s(t)$, but does not contain $t^{\ell^s pm-1}\Phi_s(t)$. As ℓ^{s+1} does not divide $\ell^s pm$, one has also $t^{\ell^s pm-1}\varphi_p(t) \notin k[[t]]^{N-1}$, which is impossible for $s \gg 0$.

This contradiction shows that $\varphi_\ell \in k[[t]]^{N-1}$, i.e., that $f_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_N k[[t]]$.

By Lemma 4.1, we may suppose that $f_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$. Then the commutativity condition becomes $f_\ell f_p(t^\ell) = f_p(t)f_\ell$, and thus, $f_p(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ for all $p \in S$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer, $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a subsemigroup containing a pair of integers $\ell \geq p \geq 2$ such that the least common multiple $[p-1, \dots, p^N-1]$ divides ℓ, and containing a pair of coprime integers ≥ 2. Then $H^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(S, \mathrm{GL}_N k((t)))$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $N \geq 1$, the case $N = 1$ being contained in Lemma 4.2. For $N > 1$ let V be a semi-linear representation of S, which is N-dimensional over $F :=$

$k((t))$. By Lemma 2.1, there is a vector $v \in V$ generating V as $F\langle\sigma\rangle$-module, where $\sigma := \sigma_p$. Let $\sigma^N v = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h_j \cdot \sigma^j v$, where $h_j \in F$, and $\alpha = \max_{0 \le j < N} \frac{v(h_j)}{p^j - p^N} \in \frac{1}{[p-1,\dots,p^N-1]}\mathbb{Z}$. Set $v' = t^{p^{N-1}\ell\alpha} \cdot \sigma^{N-1}\tau v$, where $\tau = \sigma_\ell$. Then

$$\sigma^N v' = t^{p^{2N-1}\ell\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sigma^{N-1}\tau h_j \cdot \sigma^j(\sigma^{N-1}\tau v) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t^{p^{N-1}(p^N-p^j)\ell\alpha}\sigma^{N-1}\tau h_j \cdot \sigma^j v'.$$

Set $h_j' = t^{p^{N-1}(p^N-p^j)\ell\alpha}\sigma^{N-1}\tau h_j$. Then $v(h_j') = p^{N-1}(p^N - p^j)\ell(\alpha + \frac{v(h_j)}{p^N-p^j}) \ge 0$, and $\min_{0\le j<N} v(h_j') = 0$. This means, that in the basis $\{v'\sigma v', \dots, \sigma^{N-1}v'\}$ the matrix of σ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & h_0' \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & h_1' \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & h_{N-1}' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_j' \in k[[t]]$ and $h_m' \in k[[t]]^\times$ for some $0 \le m < N$, i.e., the matrix of σ is not nilpotent at 0. Let m be minimal. According to Lemma 4.3, in an appropriate basis, the matrix of σ belongs to $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{N-m}k & \mathrm{Mat}k[[t]] \\ 0 & \mathfrak{gl}_m k[[t]] \end{pmatrix}$, i.e, there exists a non-zero k-subspace $W_0 \subset V$ invariant under σ and such that the natural map $W_0 \otimes_k F \longrightarrow V$ is injective, and therefore, there is a non-constant polynomial $P \in k[T]$ such that $\ker P(\sigma) \neq 0$. Choose such P with minimal possible degree (in particular, of degree one if k is algebraically closed). Then the natural map $\ker P(\sigma) \otimes_k F \longrightarrow V$ is injective. If $P(\sigma)v = 0$ then $P(\sigma)\xi v = 0$ for any $\xi \in S$, so $\ker P(\sigma)$ is S-invariant. This implies that there is a basis, where the matrices of all elements of S belong to $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_s k & \mathrm{Mat}_{s\times(N-s)}k((t)) \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_{N-s}k((t)) \end{pmatrix}$, where $1 \le s = \dim\ker P(\sigma) \le N$. By induction hypothesis, applied to $V/\ker P(\sigma) \otimes_k F$, there is a basis, where the matrices of all elements of S belong to $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_s k & \mathrm{Mat}_{s\times(N-s)}k((t)) \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_{N-s}k \end{pmatrix}$. Over $\overline{k}$, the matrices of all elements can be made upper triangular. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that over $\overline{k}$ the matrices of all elements can be made constant, and therefore, the same can be done over k. □

5. PURELY TRANSCENDENTAL EXTENSIONS: REDUCTION TO THE LOCAL PROBLEM

Lemma 5.1. *For each $1 \le j \le n$ fix a sub-semigroup H_j in $\mathrm{End}(k(t)/k)$ containing $t \mapsto t^{\ell_j}$ for some $\ell_j \ge 2$. Suppose that the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}(H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}) \longrightarrow H^1(H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}(t))$ is surjective for any extension K of k, where $\mathrm{End}(k(t)/k)$ is considered as sub-semigroup of $\mathrm{End}(K(t)/K)$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(\prod_{j=1}^n H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N K) \longrightarrow H^1(\prod_{j=1}^n H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ is surjective for any $n \ge 1$.*

Proof. Assume first that $n = 1$. Let $(f_\sigma) \in Z^1(H, \mathrm{GL}_N K)$. Then $f_\sigma = f_1(x)^{-1} \cdot g_\sigma \cdot f_1(\sigma x)$, where $g_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}$ and $f_1(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}(x)$ for any $\sigma \in H$. Fix some $\alpha \in k$ such that $f_1(\alpha)$ is a well-defined element of $\mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}$. Set $f(x) := f_1(\alpha)^{-1}f_1(x)$ and $h_\sigma := f_1(\alpha)^{-1}g_\sigma f_1(\alpha)$ (so $h_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}$). Then $f_\sigma(x) = f(x)^{-1} \cdot h_\sigma \cdot f(\sigma x)$ and $f(\alpha) = 1$. As $f_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x)$, we get $f^\tau(x)^{-1} \cdot h_\sigma^\tau \cdot f^\tau(\sigma x) = f(x)^{-1} \cdot h_\sigma \cdot f(\sigma x)$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}(x)/K(x)) = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, which is equivalent to $f(x) \cdot f^\tau(x)^{-1} = h_\sigma \cdot (f(\sigma x) f^\tau(\sigma x)^{-1})(h_\sigma^\tau)^{-1}$. Looking at σ's of type $x \mapsto x^{\ell^q}$ we see that $f(x) \cdot f^\tau(x)^{-1} \in \bigcap_{q\ge1} \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}(x^{\ell^q}) = \mathrm{GL}_N\overline{K}$. As $f(\alpha) = 1$, one has $f^\tau(\alpha) = 1$, and thus, $f(x) = f^\tau(x)$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, so $f(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x)$, and therefore, $h_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x) \cap \mathrm{GL}_N \overline{K} = \mathrm{GL}_N K$. This means that the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathrm{GL}_N K) \longrightarrow H^1(H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N K(x))$ is surjective.

Suppose now that $n \geq 2$. We proceed by induction on n. Consider a cocycle $(f_\xi) \in Z^1(\prod_{j=1}^n H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. Set $\sigma = (\sigma_1, 1, \dots, 1) \in H_1$ and $\tau = (1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \in \prod_{j=2}^n H_j$. By induction assumption there exist some $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x)$ such that $h_\sigma(x) := f_1(x) f_\sigma(x) f_1(\sigma x)^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $h_\tau(x) := f_2(x) f_\tau(x) f_2(\tau x)^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1)$.

As the sub-semigroups H_1 and $\prod_{j=2}^n H_j$ commute, $f_\sigma(x) f_\tau(\sigma x) = f_\tau(x) f_\sigma(\tau x)$, which is equivalent to

$$f_1(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) f_1(\sigma x) f_2(\sigma x)^{-1} h_\tau(\sigma x) f_2(\sigma\tau x) = f_2(x)^{-1} h_\tau(x) f_2(\tau x) f_1(\tau x)^{-1} h_\sigma(\tau x) f_1(\sigma\tau x).$$

Set $h(x) := f_1(x) f_2(x)^{-1}$. Then

$$[h(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) h(\sigma x)] h_\tau(\sigma x) = h_\tau(x) [h(\tau x)^{-1} h_\sigma(\tau x) h(\sigma\tau x)].$$

Looking at τ's of type $x_j \mapsto x_j^{\ell_j^q}$ and powers of x_j for $2 \leq j \leq n$, we see that

$$h(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) h(\sigma x) \in \bigcap_{q \geq 1} \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1, x_2^{\ell_2^q}, \dots, x_n^{\ell_n^q}) = \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1),$$

and therefore,

$$h_\sigma(x) [h(\sigma x) h_\tau(\sigma x) h(\sigma x)^{-1}] = [h(x) h_\tau(x) h(x)^{-1}] h_\sigma(x).$$

Looking at σ's of type $x_1 \mapsto x_1^{\ell_1^q}$ and powers of x_1, we see that $h(x) h_\tau(x) h(x)^{-1} \in \bigcap_{q \geq 1} \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1^{\ell_1^q}, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and therefore,

$$[h_\sigma(x), h(x) h_\tau(x) h(x)^{-1}] = 1. \tag{2}$$

Fix some $b_2, \dots, b_n \in k$ such that $p(x) := h(x_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1)$ is well-defined. Then $h(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) h(\sigma x) = p(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) p(\sigma x)$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) := h(x) p(x)^{-1} &= h_\sigma(x) h(\sigma x) p(\sigma x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)^{-1} \\ &\in \bigcap_{q \geq 1} \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1^{\ell_1^q}, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and in particular, (2) becomes $[q(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) q(x), p(x) h_\tau(x) p(x)^{-1}] = 1$.

Set $f(x) := q(x)^{-1} f_1(x) = p(x) f_2(x)$. Then

$$r_\sigma(x) := f(x) f_\sigma(x) f(\sigma x)^{-1} = q(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) q(\sigma x) = q(x)^{-1} h_\sigma(x) q(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n),$$
$$r_\tau(x) := f(x) f_\tau(x) f(\tau x)^{-1} = p(x) h_\tau(x) p(\tau x)^{-1} = p(x) h_\tau(x) p(x)^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1)$$

and $[r_\sigma(x), r_\tau(x)] = 1$.

Then the commutation condition $r_\sigma(x) r_\tau(\sigma x) = r_\tau(x) r_\sigma(\tau x)$ implies that

$$r_\tau(x)^{-1} r_\tau(\sigma x) = r_\sigma(x)^{-1} r_\sigma(\tau x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1) \cap \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathrm{GL}_N K,$$

so $r_\sigma(x) \in \bigcap_{q\geq 1} \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1, x_2^{\ell_2^q}, \dots, x_n^{\ell_n^q}) = \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1)$ and

$$r_\tau(x) \in \bigcap_{q\geq 1} \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_1^{\ell_1^q}, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathrm{GL}_N K(x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

and thus, $(r_\xi(x)) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\prod_{j=1}^n H_j, \mathrm{GL}_N K)$ and it projects onto the class of $(f_\xi(x))$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Let (f_σ) be a 1-cocycle on $H := k^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ with values in $\mathrm{GL}_N k(x)$. Suppose that $f_\xi(x)$ is regular at 0, where $\xi x = x^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 2$, and $\mu_{\ell^\infty} \subset k$. Then the L-semi-linear H-representation corresponding to (f_σ) is induced by a k-linear representation of H.*

Proof. In a standard manner, we embed $k(x)$ into $k((x))$. Let $f_\xi^{-1} = A + B$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ and $B \in x\mathfrak{gl}_N k[[x]]$.

As $A^{-s-1} f_{\xi^{s+1}}^{-1} = A^{-s-1}(A + \xi^s B) f_{\xi^s}^{-1} = A^{-s} f_{\xi^s}^{-1} + A^{-s-1} \cdot \xi^s B \cdot f_{\xi^s}^{-1}$, there is the limit $f := \lim\limits_{s\to\infty} A^{-s} f_{\xi^s}^{-1} \in \mathbf{1}_N + x\mathfrak{gl}_N k[[x]]$.

As explained in §3.1, the group $\mathrm{GL}_N L$ maps bijectively onto $Z^1(\langle \xi, \mu_{\ell^\infty}\rangle, \mathrm{GL}_N k(x))$. If $\xi' x = \lambda x^q$ for some $\lambda \in k^\times$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ then $\zeta\xi' = \xi'\zeta^q$ for any $\zeta \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$, so $f_\zeta \cdot \zeta f_{\xi'} = f_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f_{\zeta^q}$. Using expressions for f_ζ we get $f^{-1} \cdot \zeta(f \cdot f_{\xi'}) = f_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f^{-1} \cdot \xi'\zeta^q f$, or equivalently, $f \cdot f_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f^{-1} = \zeta(f \cdot f_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f^{-1})$, and therefore, $f \cdot f_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_N k((x))^{\mu_{\ell^\infty}^n} = \mathrm{GL}_N k$, i.e., $f_{\xi'} = f^{-1} \cdot g_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f$ for any ξ' and some 1-cocycle $g_{\xi'} \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$.

We may suppose that $k = \mathbb{C}$. Then $A^{-s-1} \cdot \xi^s B \cdot f_{\xi^s}^{-1}$ can be bounded by $c \cdot (N^2 \cdot \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|)^s \cdot |x|^{\ell^s}$, so f is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of 0. As $f = g_{\xi'} \cdot \xi' f \cdot f_{\xi'}^{-1}$, taking smaller and smaller $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, we see that f extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. The involution $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ shows that f is rational, and thus, (g_σ) belongs to the class of (f_σ). $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{N}$ act on $(k^\times)^n$ by $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda^\ell$ for any $\lambda \in (k^\times)^n$. Then the restriction to $(k^\times)^n$ of any non-degenerate k-linear representation ρ of $H := (k^\times)^n \rtimes \mathbb{N}$ of finite degree N is unipotent. (In particular, trivial on μ_∞^n.)*

Proof. One has $\rho(\lambda) = \rho(\ell) \cdot \rho(\lambda^\ell) \cdot \rho(\ell)^{-1}$, so the raising to the ℓ-th power induces a permutation of the eigenvalues of $\rho(\lambda)$. In particular, the raising to the $\ell^{N!}$-th power is the identity map of the set of eigenvalues of $\rho(\lambda)$, i.e., the eigenvalues of $\rho(\lambda)$ form a subset of $\mu_{\ell^{N!}-1}$ for any $\ell \geq 2$. Then the eigenvalues of $\rho(\lambda)$ belong to the set $\mu_{\ell^{N!}-1} \cap \mu_{(\ell^{N!}-1)^{N!}-1} = \{1\}$, and thus, $\rho(\lambda)$ is unipotent. As $\rho(\lambda)$ is diagonizable for any $\lambda \in \mu_\infty^n$, we get $\rho(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda \in \mu_\infty^n$. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. $H^1((\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times)^n, \mathrm{GL}_N k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1((\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times)^n, \mathrm{GL}_N k(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, *if k contains all ℓ-primary roots of unity for some $\ell \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, for any extension K of k any class in $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times, \mathrm{GL}_N K(t))$ admits a representative with f_ξ regular at 0, where $\xi t = t^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 2$.

Then, by Lemma 5.2, $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times, \mathrm{GL}_N K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times, \mathrm{GL}_N K(t))$. By Lemma 5.1, $H^1((\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times)^n, \mathrm{GL}_N k)$ surjects onto $H^1((\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \ltimes k^\times)^n, \mathrm{GL}_N k(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Restriction to $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z} \ltimes T$ and inclusion $\mathrm{GL}_N k \subset \mathrm{GL}_N L$ induce a natural bijection $H^1(\mathrm{GL}_n\mathbb{Q} \ltimes (T \otimes \mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{GL}_N k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z} \ltimes T, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$, if k contains all ℓ-primary roots of unity for some $\ell \geq 2$, where $L := k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $T = (k^\times)^n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, any class in $H^1(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z} \ltimes T, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$ is represented by a cocycle with constant restriction to $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\neq 0} \ltimes T$. For any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}^{\det\neq 0}\mathbb{Z}$ and any $\mu \in T_{\mathrm{tors}}$ one has $\lambda \circ \mu^\lambda = \mu \circ \lambda$, so by Lemma 5.3, $f_\lambda(x) = f_\lambda(x)f_{\mu^\lambda}(x^\lambda) = f_\mu(x)f_\lambda(\mu \cdot x) = f_\lambda(\mu \cdot x)$, i.e., $f_\lambda(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{T_{\mathrm{tors}}} = \mathrm{GL}_N k$. $\square$

6. Main theorem

Lemma 6.1. *Let $h(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k(t)$ be a function in one variable such that $h(x^{-1}) = h(x)^{-1}$ and $h(x)h(y) = h(xy - x + 1)h(xy(xy - x + 1)^{-1})$. Then h is regular on $\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\}$;*

the values of h generate a closed algebraic connected subgroup H of $\mathrm{GL}_N k$;

the unipotent radical H_u of H is commutative, coincides with the commutant of H and with $\{h(t_1)\cdots h(t_m) \mid m \geq 2,\ t_1, \dots, t_m \in k^\times - \{1\},\ t_1 \cdots t_m = 1\}$.

Proof. Let Σ be the set of poles of $h(x)^{\pm 1}$, $a \in k^\times - \{1\}$ and $b \in k^\times$ be outside of the finite set $\Sigma \cup (\frac{1}{a}\Sigma - \frac{1}{a} + 1) \cup \frac{a-1}{a}(1 - \Sigma^{-1})^{-1}$. Then $h(x) = h((b-1)x+1)h(bx((b-1)x+1)^{-1})h(b)^{-1}$ is regular at a, so $\Sigma \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_m$ be variables. Set $X_j := x_1 \cdots x_{j-1}(x_j - 1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let σ_i be the automorphism of $L := k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = k(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ over k such that

$$\sigma_i x_j := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } i \leq j-2, \text{ or } i \geq j+1 \\ x_j(x_{j+1} - 1) + 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ x_j x_{j+1}(x_j x_{j+1} - x_j + 1)^{-1} & \text{if } i = j-1 \end{cases}$$

Then $\sigma_i(h(x_1)\cdots h(x_m)) = h(x_1)\cdots h(x_m)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}$ the group of automorphisms of L generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}$. As

$$\sigma_i X_j := \begin{cases} x_1 \cdots x_{j-2}(x_{j-1}x_j - x_{j-1} + 1)\left(\frac{x_{j-1}x_j}{x_{j-1}x_j - x_{j-1} + 1} - 1\right) = X_{j-1} & \text{if } i = j \\ x_1 \cdots x_{j-1}x_j(x_{j+1} - 1) = X_{j+1} & \text{if } i = j-1 \\ X_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\mathfrak{S}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_m$, and $h(x_1)\cdots h(x_m) \in \mathrm{GL}_N L^{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}$ be such that $\sigma X_j = X_{m-j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then $\sigma(X_j + X_{j-1} + \cdots + X_1) = X_{m-j+1} + X_{m-j+2} + \cdots + X_m = x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-j}$. As $x_j = \frac{X_j + X_{j-1} + \cdots + X_1 + 1}{X_{j-1} + \cdots + X_1 + 1}$, we get $\sigma x_j = \frac{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-j} + 1}{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-j+1} + 1}$, and thus,

$$h(x_1)\cdots h(x_m) = h(x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-1} + 1) h\left(\frac{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-2} + 1}{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 \cdots x_{m-1} + 1}\right)$$
$$\cdots h\left(\frac{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 + 1}{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 x_2 + 1}\right) h\left(\frac{x_1 \cdots x_m}{x_1 \cdots x_m - x_1 + 1}\right).$$

Then for any $t_1, \dots, t_m \in k^\times - \{1\}$ such that $t_1 \cdots t_m = -1$ we get

$$h(t_1)\cdots h(t_m) = h(t_m^{-1})\cdots h(t_1^{-1}) = (h(t_1)\cdots h(t_m))^{-1},$$

or equivalently, $(h(t_1)\cdots h(t_m))^2 = 1$.

Let H be the subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_N k$ generated by $h(t)$ for all $t \in k^\times - \{1\}$, and $S = \{h(t_1)\cdots h(t_m) \mid m \geq 2; t_1, \dots, t_m \in k^\times - \{1\}; t_1 \cdots t_m = 1\}$. Clearly, S is a subgroup of H.

One has $h(-1)Ah(-1) = A^{-1}$ for any $A \in S$, so $h(-1)ABh(-1) = A^{-1}B^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ for any $A, B \in S$, which means that S is abelian.

Let $(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\})^M \xrightarrow{\pi_M} H$ sends $(t_1, \dots, t_M)$ to $h(t_1) \cdots h(t_M)$ and $P_M := \{(t_1, \dots, t_M) \in (\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\})^M \mid t_1 \cdots t_M = 1\}$.

Then $\pi_{M+2}(t_1, \dots, t_M, t, t^{-1}) = \pi_M(t_1, \dots, t_M)$ and $\pi_{M+3}(t_1, \dots, t_M, t, 1+\zeta-\zeta t^{-1}, ((1+\zeta)t-\zeta)^{-1}) = \pi_M(t_1, \dots, t_M)$, so $\mathrm{Im}(\pi_{M-3}) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\pi_M) \supseteq \mathrm{Im}(\pi_{M-2})$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\pi_{M-3}|_{P_{M-3}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\pi_M|_{P_M}) \supseteq \mathrm{Im}(\pi_{M-2}|_{P_{M-2}})$. As $(\mathbb{G}_m - \{1\})^M$ and its subset P_M are irreducible, this implies that H and S are closed algebraic connected subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_N k$. Clearly, $[H, H] \subseteq S$, so H is solvable.

As S is connected, one has $S = S^2$ (the set of the squares of the elements of S), so, in view of $[H, H] \supseteq [h(-1), S] = S^2$, we get $S = [H, H]$. By Lie–Kolchin theorem, we may conjugate H by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_N k$ so that it becomes upper triangular, and S is contained in the unipotent radical of H.

If $N = 1$ then $S = [H, H] = \{1\}$, so $h(x)h(y) = h(xy)$, and thus, h is a cocharacter. This implies that for any $N \geq 1$ the diagonal of h is a cocharacter.

As the unipotent radical H_u of H coincides with the set of unipotent elements of H, one has

$$H_u = \{h(t_1) \cdots h(t_m) \mid (t_1 \cdots t_m)^{(m_1, \dots, m_N)} = 1\} = S \cup \bigcup_{\zeta \in \mu_{(m_1, \dots, m_N)} - \{1\}} h(\zeta)S,$$

where the diagonal of $h(t)$ is $\mathrm{diag}(t^{m_1}, \dots, t^{m_N})$. As H_u is connected, one has $H_u = S$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Keeping notations of Lemma 6.1, let $f \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ be a unipotent matrix normalizing H. Suppose that $h(x)h(2 - x^{-1}) = f \cdot h(2x - 1) \cdot f^{-1}$. Then $h(x)h(y) = h(xy)$.*

Proof. Zariski closure of the subgroup generated by f is connected, as well as any other closed abelian unipotent subgroup. Let $\tilde{H}$ be the Zariski closed subgroup generated by f and H. Then $\tilde{H}$ is solvable and connected, so we may assume that it is upper triangular.

By induction on N, the case $N = 1$ being trivial, we check that there is a diagonal matrix in the $\mathrm{GL}_N k$-conjugacy class of $h(t)$.

Let $N \geq 2$. One has $h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(t)^{-1} & g(t) \\ 0 & M(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{N-1} k(t) & k(t)^{N-1} \\ 0 & k(t)^{\times} \end{pmatrix}$, where an upper triangular $\Lambda(t)^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{N-1} k(t)$ and $M(t) \in k(t)^{\times}$ satisfy the same conditions as $h(t)$, so we may suppose that $\Lambda(t)$ is diagonal.

The condition $h(t)^{-1} = h(t^{-1})$ gives $g(t^{-1}) = -\Lambda(t)g(t)M(t)^{-1}$. Set $v(t) := \Lambda(t)g(t)$. Then $v(t) = -g(t^{-1})M(t) = -\Lambda(t)v(t^{-1})M(t)$.

One has

$$h(x)h(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(xy)^{-1} & \Lambda(x)^{-1}g(y) + g(x)M(y) \\ 0 & M(xy) \end{pmatrix},$$

so $\Lambda(x)^{-1}g(y) + g(x)M(y) = \Lambda(xy - x + 1)^{-1}g(xy(xy - x + 1)^{-1}) + g(xy - x + 1)M(xy(xy - x + 1)^{-1})$. Multiplying both sides by $\Lambda(x)$ on the left and by $M(y)^{-1}$ on the right, we get $v(x) - v(y^{-1}) = \Lambda(y)^{-1}v(xy(xy - x + 1)^{-1})M(y)^{-1} - \Lambda(x)v((xy - x + 1)^{-1})M(x)$, or equivalently,

$$v(x) - v(y) = \Lambda(y)v(X)M(y) - \Lambda(x)v(Y)M(x), \tag{3}$$

where we set $X = x(x - xy + y)^{-1}$ and $Y = y(x - xy + y)^{-1}$.

Taking the partial derivative $\partial/\partial x$ of both sides of (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) = &\left(\frac{1}{x - xy + y} - \frac{x(1-y)}{(x - xy + y)^2}\right)\Lambda(y)v'(X)M(y) - \Lambda'(x)v(Y)M(x) \\ &- \Lambda(x)v(Y)M'(x) + \frac{y(1-y)}{(x - xy + y)^2}\Lambda(x)v'(Y)M(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Taking further the partial derivative $\partial/\partial y$ of both sides of (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{y}{(x - xy + y)^2}\Lambda'(y)v'(X)M(y) + \left(\frac{1}{(x - xy + y)^2} - \frac{2(1-x)y}{(x - xy + y)^3}\right)\Lambda(y)v'(X)M(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{x(x-1)y}{(x - xy + y)^4}\Lambda(y)v''(X)M(y) + \frac{y}{(x - xy + y)^2}\Lambda(y)v'(X)M'(y) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{y(1-x)}{(x - xy + y)^2} - \frac{1}{x - xy + y}\right)\Lambda'(x)v'(Y)M(x) - \frac{x}{(x - xy + y)^2}\Lambda(x)v'(Y)M'(x) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-2y}{(x - xy + y)^2} - \frac{2(1-x)y(1-y)}{(x - xy + y)^3}\right)\Lambda(x)v'(Y)M(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{xy(1-y)}{(x - xy + y)^4}\Lambda(x)v''(Y)M(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $X(X-1) = \frac{x(x-1)y}{(x-xy+y)^2}$, $Y(Y-1) = \frac{xy(y-1)}{(x-xy+y)^2}$, $2X-1 = \frac{x-y+xy}{x-xy+y}$ and $1-2Y = \frac{x-y-xy}{x-xy+y}$, multiplying by $(x - xy + y)^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &X(X-1)\Lambda(y)v''(X)M(y) - Y(Y-1)\Lambda(x)v''(Y)M(x) \\ &\quad + y\Lambda'(y)v'(X)M(y) - x\Lambda'(x)v'(Y)M(x) + (2X-1)\Lambda(y)v'(X)M(y) \\ &\quad - (2Y-1)\Lambda(x)v'(Y)M(x) + y\Lambda(y)v'(X)M'(y) - x\Lambda(x)v'(Y)M'(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $x/y = X/Y$, multiplying by $\Lambda(X)^{-1}\Lambda(y)^{-1}$ on the left and by $M(y)^{-1}M(X)^{-1}$ on the right, and using $y\Lambda'(y)\Lambda(y)^{-1} = \Lambda'(1)$ and $yM'(y)M(y)^{-1} = M'(1)$, we see that the function

$$\begin{aligned} &X(X-1)\Lambda(X)^{-1}v''(X)M(X)^{-1} + \Lambda(X)^{-1}\Lambda'(1)v'(X)M(X)^{-1} \\ &\quad + (2X-1)\Lambda(X)^{-1}v'(X)M(X)^{-1} + \Lambda(X)^{-1}v'(X)M'(1)M(X)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

is invariant under $X \leftrightarrow Y$, i.e., it is constant.

Let $v'(X) = X^{-1}(X-1)^{-1}\Lambda(X(X-1)^{-1})\varphi(X)M(X(X-1)^{-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v''(X) = &-\left(\frac{1}{X} + \frac{1}{X-1}\right)v'(X) - \frac{1}{(X-1)^2}\Lambda'\left(\frac{X}{X-1}\right)\Lambda\left(\frac{X-1}{X}\right)v'(X) \\ &- \frac{1}{(X-1)^2}v'(X)M\left(\frac{X-1}{X}\right)M'\left(\frac{X}{X-1}\right) + \frac{1}{X(X-1)}\Lambda\left(\frac{X}{X-1}\right)\varphi'(X)M\left(\frac{X}{X-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, the function (5) coincides with

$$\Lambda(X-1)^{-1}\varphi'(X)M(X-1)^{-1}=A\in k^{N-1}.$$

This implies that $\varphi'(X)=\Lambda(X-1)AM(X-1)$ is a Laurent polynomial in $X-1$. It follows from the rationallity of φ that $\varphi(X)=(X-1)\Lambda(X-1)BM(X-1)+C$ for some $B,C\in k^{N-1}$, and therefore, $v'(X)=X^{-1}\Lambda(X)BM(X)+X^{-1}(X-1)^{-1}\Lambda(X(X-1)^{-1})CM(X(X-1)^{-1})$.

It follows from the rationallity of v that

$$v(X)=\Lambda(X)DM(X)+\Lambda(X(X-1)^{-1})EM(X(X-1)^{-1})+F$$

for some $D,E,F\in k^{N-1}$.

The condition $v(t^{-1})=-\Lambda(t)^{-1}v(t)M(t)^{-1}$ is equivalent to $D+\Lambda(X-1)^{-1}EM(X-1)^{-1}+\Lambda(X)^{-1}FM(X)^{-1}+\Lambda(X)^{-1}DM(X)^{-1}+\Lambda(1-X)^{-1}EM(1-X)^{-1}+F=0$. Rewriting it as $(D+F)+\Lambda(X)(D+F)M(X)+\Lambda(X(X-1)^{-1})(E+\Lambda(-1)EM(-1))M(X(X-1)^{-1})=0$, we see that adding to (D,E,F) of a multiple of $(D+F,E+\Lambda(-1)EM(-1),D+F)$ does not change v, so we may assume that $F=-D$ and $E+\Lambda(-1)EM(-1)=0$, and thus, $g(t)=DM(t)+\Lambda(t-1)^{-1}EM(t(t-1)^{-1})-\Lambda(t)^{-1}D$.

As

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{1} & -D\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda^{-1} & g\\ 0 & M\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{1} & D\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda^{-1} & g-DM+\Lambda^{-1}D\\ 0 & M\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda(t)^{-1} & \Lambda(t-1)^{-1}EM\left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)\\ 0 & M\end{pmatrix},$$

we may suppose that $D=0$ and $E_j=0$ if $m_j-m_N=1$ (since then $(\Lambda(t-1)^{-1}EM(\frac{t}{t-1}))_j=(\Lambda(t)^{-1}E-EM(t))_j$).

It is easy to see that $h(x)h(y)=h(xy-x+1)h(xy(xy-x+1)^{-1})$. The condition $h(t^{-1})=h(t)^{-1}$ is equivalent to $\Lambda(t(1-t)^{-1})EM(1-t)^{-1}=-\Lambda(t(t-1)^{-1})EM(t-1)^{-1}$, which is the same as $E=-\Lambda(-1)EM(-1)$, and thus, $E_j=0$ if m_j-m_N is even.

Now suppose that for some unipotent $f=\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{GL}_Nk$ one has

$$f\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda(x)^{-1} & \Lambda(x-1)^{-1}EM\left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)\\ 0 & M(x)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda(x)^{-1} & 2\Lambda\left(\frac{2}{x-1}\right)EM\left(\frac{2x}{x-1}\right)\\ 0 & M(x)\end{pmatrix}f.$$

Then $A\Lambda(x)^{-1}=\Lambda(x)^{-1}A$, which is equivalent to $A_{ij}=0$ if $m_i\neq m_j$, and

$$A\Lambda(x-1)^{-1}EM(\frac{x}{x-1})+BM(x)=\Lambda(x)^{-1}B+2\Lambda(\frac{2}{x-1})EM(\frac{2x}{x-1})D.$$

These conditions imply that $B_i(1-x^{m_i-m_N})=(2^{m_N-m_i+1}E_i-\sum\limits_{j:m_i=m_j}A_{ij}E_j)(x-1)^{m_i-m_N}$, and thus, $B_i=0$ and $2^{m_N-m_i+1}E_i=\sum\limits_{j:m_i=m_j}A_{ij}E_j$ if $m_i-m_N\notin\{0,1\}$.

This implies that $2^{m_N-m_i+1}E_i=(AE)_i$ for all i, and therefore, $\Lambda(2)^{-1}AE=2^{m_N+1}E$, or equivalently, $(A-1)E=(2^{m_N+1}\Lambda(2)-1)E$. Then $0=(A-1)^{N-1}E=(2^{m_N+1}\Lambda(2)-1)^{N-1}E$, and thus, $(\Lambda(2)^{-1}-2^{m_N+1})E=0$, and therefore, $(m_i-m_N-1)E_i=0$ for all i. This means that $E=0$, i.e., $h(t)$ is diagonal. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mu_{\ell^\infty} \subset k$ for some $\ell \geq 2$ and $L := k(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$.*

(1) *Let P be the stabilizer of a hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, and U the unipotent radical of P. Let V be an L-semi-linear P-representation. Suppose that the restriction of V to a maximal torus $T \subset P$ is induced by a unipotent representation of degree N. Then there is a U-invariant*[2] *k-lattice V_0 in V with unipotent action of U such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - H) \otimes_k V_0$ is canonical and P-invariant.*

(2) *If, moreover, V is an L-semi-linear G-representation, where $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n_k/k)$ with $n \geq 2$, then V is the generic fibre of a coherent G-sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.*

Proof.

(1) We shall consider U as a k-vector space and identify the action of $\mathrm{GL}_k(U)$ with the adjoint action of $P/U \cong \mathrm{GL}_n k$.

Let $(f_\sigma) \in H^1(P, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$ be the class of V. We may suppose that $f_\lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ is unipotent for any $\lambda \in T$, and in particular, $f_\lambda = 1$ for any $\lambda \in T_{\mathrm{tors}}$. Then $f_{\lambda u}(x) = f_\lambda^{-1} f_u(\lambda^{-1}x) f_\lambda$ for any $u \in U$ and $\lambda \in T$. Choose a splitting $T = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ (which is equivalent to ordering of $(n+1)$ points of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ fixed by T) and for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ choose some non-zero $u_j \in U$ fixed by $T_j := \mu_{\ell^\infty}^{j-1} \times \{1\} \times \mu_{\ell^\infty}^{n-j}$. Then $f_{u_j}(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N L^{T_j} = \mathrm{GL}_N k(x_j)$, where $\tau x_j = \tau_j x_j$ for any $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in T$, which implies that $f_{u_i}(x)$ and $f_{u_j}(y)$ commute for any $i \neq j$.

Set $h_j(t) := f_{u_j}(\frac{u_j}{t-1}) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k(t)$. Then, as

$$f_{u_j}(x) f_{\lambda u_j}(x + u_j) = f_{(1+\lambda)u_j}(x) = f_{\lambda u_j}(x) f_{u_j}(x + \lambda u_j)$$

for any $\lambda \in k^\times \subseteq T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(t) \cdot f_\lambda^{-1} h_j(1+\lambda-\lambda\cdot t^{-1}) f_\lambda &= f_{1+\lambda}^{-1} h_j((1+\lambda)t-\lambda) f_{1+\lambda} \\ &= f_\lambda^{-1} h_j(\lambda(t-1)+1) f_\lambda \cdot h_j(\frac{(1+\lambda)t-\lambda}{\lambda(t-1)+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

if $\lambda \in k^\times - \{-1\}$, and $h_j(t^{-1}) = h_j(t)^{-1}$ if $\lambda = -1$.

If $\lambda = 1$ this gives $h_j(t)h_j(2-t^{-1}) = f_2^{-1} h_j(2t-1) f_2$. Setting $y := 1+\lambda-\lambda t^{-1}$, we get $h_j(t)h_j(y) = h_j(ty-t+1)h_j(\frac{ty}{ty-t+1})$ if $\lambda \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$. As μ_{ℓ^∞} is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{G}_m$, this identity holds for arbitrary t and y. Then $h_j(t)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6.2, so $h_j(x)h_j(y) = h_j(xy)$. As $f_{u_i}(x)$ and $f_{u_j}(y)$ commute, the same holds for $h_i(x)$ and $h_j(y)$, so $h_j(t) = C^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{diag}(t_j^{m_{1j}}, \ldots, t_j^{m_{Nj}}) \cdot C$ for some $C \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ and some $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to $f_{u_j}(x) = C^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{diag}((1+x_j(u_j)/x_j)^{m_{1j}}, \ldots, (1+x_j(u_j)/x_j)^{m_{Nj}}) \cdot C$.

One sees from (6) that if f_λ and f_μ commute with $h_j(t)$ for some $\lambda \neq -\mu$ in $k^\times$ then $f_{\lambda+\mu}$ and $f_{\lambda\mu}$ also commute with $h_j(t)$. For any root μ of λ in k the element f_μ belongs to the Zariski closure of the subgroup generated by f_λ, so f_λ commutes with $h_j(t)$ for any λ in the radical closure k_∞ of $\mathbb{Q}$ in k (defined in §1.1).

Set $g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n h_j(x_j)$ and $g_\lambda(x) := g(x)f_\lambda(x)g(\lambda x)^{-1}$ for any $\lambda \in P$. Then $g_u(x) = 1$ for any u in the k_∞-subspace U_0 of U spanned by $u_1, \ldots, u_n$.

For any $\lambda \in P$ and any $u \in U$ one has $g_\lambda(x)g_{\lambda^{-1}u\lambda}(\lambda x) = g_u(x)g_\lambda(x+u)$. If $\lambda \in U_0$ we see that g_u is constant. As U is normal in P, this shows that, for arbitrary $\lambda \in P$, the poles of $g_\lambda(x)^{\pm 1}$ are U-invariant, so $g_\lambda(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k[x]$. In other words, the submodule $\mathcal{V}_H := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - H) \otimes_k V^{U_0}$ is P-invariant. As all k_∞-lattices U_0 form a single P-orbit, $\mathcal{V}_H$ is independent of the choice of U_0.

---

[2] more generally, invariant under the normalizer in P of a k_∞-lattice in U.

For any $\lambda \in P$ and any $u \in U_0$ one has $g_\lambda(x)^{-1} g_\lambda(x+u) = g_{\lambda^{-1}u\lambda} \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$, so one sees that $g_\lambda(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ for any λ in the normalizer of U_0 in P and that $r_\lambda(u) := g_\lambda(x)^{-1} g_\lambda(x+u) \in \mathrm{GL}_N k[u]$. Then $g_\lambda(x) = g_\lambda(0) r_\lambda(x)$. Note that

$$r_\lambda(u) r_\lambda(v) = g_\lambda(x)^{-1} g_\lambda(x+u) g_\lambda(x+u)^{-1} g_\lambda(x+u+v) = r_\lambda(u+v),$$

i.e., $r_\lambda : U \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N k$ is a polynomial homomorphism and $r_\lambda = 1$ for any $\lambda \in U$.

In particular, the restriction of V to U is induced by a unipotent representation trivial on U_0.

(2) As we know from the first part, for any hyperplane H and any k_∞-lattice U_0 in the unipotent radical U of Stab_H the submodule $\mathcal{V}_H := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - H) \otimes_k V^{U_0}$ is canonical and Stab_H-invariant. Then the submodule $\mathcal{V}_p := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k, p} \otimes_k V^{U_0}$ of V is also independent of the choice of U_0, and the group $\mathrm{Stab}_p \cap \mathrm{Stab}_H$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_p$. Let, in notation of the first part, $\tau_u : x \mapsto \frac{x}{1+\langle u,x\rangle}$ be an element of the unipotent radical of Stab_p, where u is a k-linear functional on U. Then $g_\lambda(x) g_{\lambda^{-1}\tau_u\lambda}(\lambda x) = g_{\tau_u}(x) g_\lambda(\frac{x}{1+\langle u,x\rangle})$ for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Stab}_p \cap \mathrm{Stab}_H$. As $g_\lambda(x), g_\lambda(\frac{x}{1+\langle u,x\rangle}) \in \mathrm{GL}_N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k, p}$, we see that the singularities of $g_{\tau_u}(x)^{\pm 1}$ in the formal neighbourhood of p are invariant under the action of the centralizer of τ_u in $\mathrm{Stab}_p \cap \mathrm{Stab}_H$, i.e., $g_{\tau_u}(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N \mathcal{O}_p[\langle u,x\rangle^{-1}]$.

We see from $g_{\tau_u}(x) g_{\tau_v}(\frac{x}{1+\langle u,x\rangle}) = g_{\tau_{u+v}}(x)$ that $g_{\tau_u}(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_N \mathcal{O}_p$ if $n \geq 2$, i.e., that $\mathcal{V}_p$ is invariant under the action of the unipotent radical of Stab_p, and thus, under the action of Stab_p itself. As all hyperplanes avoiding a point p form a single Stab_p-orbit, the submodule $\mathcal{O}_p \otimes_k V^{U_0}$ of V is independent of the choice of the hyperplane, so we get a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{V} \subset V$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\mu_{\ell^\infty} \subset k$ for some $\ell \geq 2$ and $L := k(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$ with $n \geq 2$. Let V be an L-semi-linear G-representation, where $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n_k/k) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$. Suppose that the restriction of V to the stabilizer P of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ is induced by a representation of degree N trivial on the unipotent radical U of P. Then V is isomorphic to the L-semi-linear G-representation corresponding to the generic fibre of a G-equivariant coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of rank N.*

Proof. There is a representative $(g_\lambda) \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_N L)$ of V such that $g_\lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_N k$ for any $\lambda \in P$ and $g_\lambda = 1$ for any $\lambda \in U$. As G is generated by a finite number of conjugates of U, the GL_N-valued function $g_\lambda(x)$ is rational on $U \times_k G$, which implies that the coherent sheaf of Lemma 6.3 (2) is G-equivariant. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mu_{\ell^\infty} \subset k$ for some $\ell \geq 2$ and $L := k(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$. Let $n \geq 2$ and V be a (non-degenerate) finite-dimensional L-semi-linear $\mathrm{End}(L/k)$-representation. Then the restriction of V to $G := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n_k/k) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$ is isomorphic to the L-semi-linear G-representation corresponding to the generic fibre of a coherent G-sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, G-equivariant if $k = k_\infty$.*

Proof. Fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$ and corresponding coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. In fact, V is an L-semi-linear representation of the semi-group generated by G and $\sigma_p : x \mapsto x^p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\neq 0}$. By Proposition 5.4, the restriction of V to $T \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n_{\neq 0}$ is induced by a representation. Then, by Lemma 5.3, the restriction of V to T is induced by a unipotent representation. Lemma 6.3 produces a coherent G-sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, and Lemma 6.4 implies the rest. □

Remark. Let Q be a finite-dimensional k-vector space, $G = \mathrm{PGL}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be a coherent G-sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(Q)$. Then there exists a k-linear representation W of G of finite degree and an isomorphism of $\mathcal{V}$ onto a G-subquotient of $W \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(Q)}$. (*Proof.* For m sufficiently big the natural $\mathrm{GL}(Q)$-equivariant pairing $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}(Q), \mathcal{V}(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is surjective. As $\mathcal{O}(-m) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^m Q \otimes_k \mathcal{O}$, we can take the k-linear G-representation $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}(Q), \mathcal{V}(m)) \otimes_k \mathrm{Sym}^m Q$ as W. $\square$)

7. Admissible semi-linear representations

Let $G = G_{F/k}$ be the automorphism group of an algebraically closed field extension F of k of countable transcendence degree. This is a topological group with base of open subgroups $\{G_{F/k(x)} \mid \text{for all } x \in F\}$, more details can be found in [R].

7.1. **A forgetful functor.** Let $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset L_3 \subset \dots$ be a sequence of purely transcendental extensions of finite type over k. Set $L_\infty := \bigcup_{j \ge 1} L_j$. Assume that F is algebraic over L_∞.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the category with objects $V_1 \hookrightarrow V_2 \hookrightarrow V_3 \hookrightarrow \dots$, where

- V_j is an $L_j\langle G_{L_j/k}\rangle$-module for each $j \ge 1$;
- $V_i \hookrightarrow V_j$ is a morphism of $L_i\langle G_{\{L_j,L_i\}/k}\rangle$-modules for all $i < j$ (here $G_{\{L_j,L_i\}/k}$ is the group of automorphisms of L_j over k inducing automorphisms of L_i);
- $V_s = V_i \cap V_j^{G_{L_j/L_s}}$ for any $s < i < j$.

The morphisms are defined as commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_1 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"'] & V_2 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"'] & V_3 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\varphi_3"'] & \dots \\
V_1' \arrow[r, hook] & V_2' \arrow[r, hook] & V_3' \arrow[r, hook] & \dots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where φ_j is an L_j-linear morphism of $G_{L_j/k}$-modules. Clearly, $\mathcal{P}$ is an additive k-linear category with kernels.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset F$ be a pair of non-trivial purely transcendental extensions of finite transcendence degree. Let H be a subgroup of $G_{\{F,L_2\}/L_0}$ projecting onto a subgroup of G_{L_2/L_0} containing the permutation group of a transcendence basis of L_2 over L_0 extending a transcendence basis of L_1 over L_0. Then the subgroup G' in G generated by G_{F/L_1} and H coincides with G_{F/L_0}.*

Proof. If we could show that $G_{F/\xi(L_1)} \subset G'$ for any $\xi \in G_{F/L_0}$ then G' would contain an open normal subgroup in G_{F/L_0}. It follows from the simplicity of $G_{F/\overline{L_0}}$ (Theorem 2.9 of [R]) that $G' = G_{F/L_0}$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_N$ be generators of L_1 over L_0, and $y_1, \dots, y_N$ be generators of $\xi(L_1)$ over L_0. By induction on N we check that there exist $\sigma, \tau \in G'$ such that $\sigma x_1, \dots, \sigma x_N, \tau y_1, \dots, \tau y_N$ are algebraically independent over L_2. Then there is $\alpha \in G_{F/L_2}$ such that $y_j = \tau^{-1}\alpha\sigma x_j$ for all $1 \le j \le N$, and therefore, $G_{F/\xi(L_1)} = \tau^{-1}\alpha\sigma G_{F/L_1}(\tau^{-1}\alpha\sigma)^{-1} \subset G'$.

Let $N = 1$. If $y_1 \in \overline{L_1}$ then there is $\tau \in H$ such that $y_1' = \tau y_1 \notin \overline{L_1}$. If $y_1 \notin \overline{L_1}$ then there exists $\tau' \in G_{F/L_1}$ such that $\tau' y_1' \notin \overline{L_2}$.

Let $N > 1$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}$ be algebraically independent over L_2. Suppose that the elements $y_1, \dots, y_N$ are algebraically dependent over L_2, i.e., $P(y_1, \dots, y_N) = 0$ for some minimal polynomial $P \in \overline{L_2}[T_1, \dots, T_N] - \overline{L_0}[T_1, \dots, T_N]$ with a coefficient equal to 1. If $y_1, \dots, y_N$ are algebraically independent over L_1 then there is $\sigma \in G_{F/L_1}$ such that $\sigma y_1, \dots, \sigma y_N$ are algebraically independent over L_2.

If $P \in \overline{L_1}[T_1, \dots, T_N]$ then there exists $\sigma \in H$ such that at least one of coefficients of the polynomial σP over $\overline{L_2}$ is outside of $\overline{L_1}$. As one of coefficients of σP is equal to 1, we see that $\sigma y_1, \dots, \sigma y_N$ are algebraically independent over L_1. $\square$

Remark. Similarly, one checks that G_{L_∞/L_i} and $G_{\{L_\infty, L_j\}/L_s}$ generate G_{L_∞/L_s} for any $s < i < j$.

For a semi-group H of endomorphisms of an extension K of k denote by $\mathfrak{SL}(H; K)$ the (abelian) category of smooth semi-linear representations of H over K.

Corollary 7.2. *Sending V to $V_\infty := V^{G_{F/L_\infty}}$ defines a faithful functor*

$$\mathfrak{SL}(G; F) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathfrak{SL}(\mathrm{End}(L_\infty/k); L_\infty).$$

Proof. Let H be the sub-semigroup of G consisting of the elements inducing endomorphisms of L_∞. As H dominates $\mathrm{End}(L_\infty/k)$, the latter semi-group acts on V_∞.

To check that V_∞ is smooth, note that any $v \in V_\infty$ is fixed by the sub-semigroup H generated by G_{F/L_∞} and $\mathrm{End}(F/L)$ for some $L \subset F$ of finite type over k. There is $N \geq 1$ such that $L \subseteq \overline{L_N}$, so $H \supseteq \langle G_{F/L_\infty}, \mathrm{End}(F/\overline{L_N}) \rangle$. By Prop.2.14[3] of [R], $H \supseteq \mathrm{End}(F/L_N) \supset \mathrm{End}(\{F, L_\infty\}/L_N)$, i.e., the stabilizer of $v \in V_\infty$ contains the open sub-semigroup $\mathrm{End}(L_\infty/L_N)$.

Clearly, $V_\infty \longmapsto V_\infty \otimes_{L_\infty} F$ and $V \longmapsto V^{G_{F/L_\infty}}$ define equivalences between the categories $\mathfrak{SL}(\mathrm{End}(L_\infty/k); L_\infty)$ and $\mathfrak{SL}(H; F)$ inverse to each other. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *The categories $\mathfrak{SL}(G_{L_\infty/k}; L_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. By Remark after Lemma 7.1, $V_\infty \longmapsto (V_\infty^{G_{L_\infty/L_j}})_{j \geq 1}$ defines a functor to $\mathcal{P}$.

To construct the inverse functor, one has to recover the $G_{L_\infty/k}$-action on the L_∞-space $V_\infty := \bigcup_{j \geq 1} V_j$, or equivalently, $G_{L_\infty/k} \times V_s \longrightarrow V_\infty$ for any $s \geq 1$. As $V_s \subset V_{s+1} \subset \dots$, we know the action of the elements of the closure of the set $T_s := \bigcup_{j \geq s} G_{\{L_\infty, L_j\}/k}$ on V_s.

For any $s \geq 1$, any $N \geq 1$ and any pair $x_1, \dots, x_N$ and $y_1, \dots, y_N$ of collections in L_∞ complementable to sets of generators of L_∞/k there is $M \geq N$ and $\sigma \in G_{\{L_\infty, L_M\}/k}$ such that $\sigma x_j = y_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, and therefore, the closure of T_s coincides with $G_{L_\infty/k}$.

Any subfield of L_∞ of finite type over k is contained in some L_j, so $V_\infty = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} V_\infty^{G_{L_\infty/L_j}}$ for any smooth $G_{L_\infty/k}$-module V_∞, and thus, our functors are mutually inverse. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. *The composition of Φ with the equivalence of Lemma 7.3 is given by $V \longmapsto (V_1 \hookrightarrow V_2 \hookrightarrow V_3 \hookrightarrow \dots)$, where $V_j = V^{G_{F/L_j}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.1 of [R], $V^{G_{F/L_\infty}} = \bigcup_{L \subset L_\infty} V^{G_{F/L}}$, where L runs over subfields of finite type over k. Then such L are contained in appropriate L_j, so $V^{G_{F/L_\infty}} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} V^{G_{F/L_j}}$.

---

[3] Let L_1 and L_2 be subextensions of k in F such that $\overline{L_1} \bigcap \overline{L_2}$ is algebraic over $L_1 \bigcap L_2$ and $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F/L_2) = \infty$. Then the subgroup in G generated by G_{F/L_1} and G_{F/L_2} is dense in $G_{F/L_1 \bigcap L_2}$.

The composition of Φ with the equivalence of Lemma 7.3 is given by $V \mapsto (V_\infty^{G_{L_\infty/L_j}})_{j\geq 1}$. If $v \in V_\infty^{G_{L_\infty/L_s}} = V^{G_{\{F,L_\infty\}/L_s}}$ then there is $i \geq s$ such that $v \in V_i$. The subgroup $G_{\{F,L_\infty,L_i\}/L_s}$ of $G_{\{F,L_\infty\}/L_s}$ acts on V_i and its action factors through G_{L_i/L_s}, so $v \in V_i^{G_{L_i/L_s}}$. Then for any $j > i$ one has $v \in V_i^{G_{L_i/L_s}} \cap V_j^{G_{L_j/L_s}}$. Now Lemma 7.1 implies that $v \in V_s$, i.e., $V_\infty^{G_{L_\infty/L_s}} = V_s$. $\square$

7.2. It is shown in [R] that the category of pure covariant motives over k (defined using numerical equivalence) can be considered as a full subcategory of the category of graded semi-simple admissible G-modules of finite type. It is therefore desirable to know, whether the inverse inclusion holds.

Any admissible representation W of G has the property that $W^{G_{F/L}} = W^{G_{F/L'}}$ for any extension L of k in F and any purely transcendental extension L' of L in F. Such smooth representations form a Serre subcategory, denoted by $\mathcal{I}_G$, in the category of all smooth representations $\mathcal{S}m_G$ (cf. §6 of [R]).

The inclusion functor $\mathcal{I}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_G$ admits the left adjoint $\mathcal{S}m_G \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}_G$. For an irreducible variety Y over k denote by $C_{k(Y)}$ the image under $\mathcal{I}$ of the free $\mathbb{Q}$-space with a basis given by the set of all embeddings of the function field $k(Y)$ into F over k. Clearly, $C_{k(Y)}$ surjects onto $CH_0(Y_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and there are several reasons to expect that $C_{k(Y)} = CH_0(Y_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ when Y is smooth and proper.

Conjecture.

1. Any irreducible object of $\mathcal{I}_G$ is contained in an admissible F-semi-linear representation V of G, i.e., such that $\dim_{F^U} V^U < \infty$ for any open subgroup U of G (or equivalently, $\dim_L V^{G_{F/L}} < \infty$ for any subfield $L \subset F$ of finite type over k).
2. Any irreducible admissible F-semi-linear representation of G is contained in the tensor algebra $\bigotimes_F^\bullet \Omega^1_{F/k}$.

Remarks. 1. To show the existence of smooth irreducible representations of G not contained in the tensor algebra $\bigotimes_F^\bullet \Omega^1_{F/k}$, consider the G-module $W = \mathbb{Q}[G/G_{\{F,\overline{k(x)}\}/k}]^\circ$, which is the same as the linear combinations of degree zero in the $\mathbb{Q}$-space with the basis given by all algebraically closed subfields in F of transcendence degree 1 over k.

For any $x, y \in F - k$ algebraically independent over k, the vector $e = [\overline{k(x)}] - [\overline{k(y)}]$ is cyclic, since $\sigma e - e = [\overline{k(\sigma x)}] - [\overline{k(x)}]$ for any $\sigma \in G_{\{F,\overline{k(y)}\}/k}$. This means that W admits an irreducible quotient.

Then $\mathrm{Hom}_G(W, W') \hookrightarrow (W')^{\mathrm{Stab}_e}$. As $\mathrm{Stab}_e = G_{\{F,\overline{k(x)},\overline{k(y)}\}/k}$, we see that $(W')^{\mathrm{Stab}_e} = (\bigotimes^\bullet_{\overline{k(x,y)}} \Omega^1_{\overline{k(x,y)}/k})^{G_{\{\overline{k(x,y)},\overline{k(x)},\overline{k(y)}\}/k}} = k$, if $W' = \bigotimes_F^\bullet \Omega^1_{F/k}$, i.e., any morphism $W \longrightarrow W'$ factors through $W \xrightarrow{\varphi} k \hookrightarrow W'$. Then $-\varphi(e) = \varphi(\sigma e) = \sigma\varphi(e) = \varphi(e)$ for any $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma x = y$ and $\sigma y = x$, and thus, $\varphi(e) = 0$. This shows that no non-zero quotient of W can be embedded into $\bigotimes_F^\bullet \Omega^1_{F/k}$. $\square$

2. It is known (cf. Prop.5.4 of [R]) that any smooth semi-linear representation of G finite-dimensional over F is trivial.

3. The following claim implies that the admissible semi-linear representations of G form a tensor category.

Lemma 7.5. *Let E be either F or any field of characteristic zero with the trivial G-action, and W_1, W_2 be smooth semi-linear representations of G over E. Then, for any extension $L \subset F$ of k, one has $(W_1 \otimes_E W_2)^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} = W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_2^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$.*

(This is not true if $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F/k) < \infty$. Namely, let W_1 and W_2 be of degree one, non-trivial and dual to each other. Then $(W_1 \otimes_E W_2)^G = E^G$, but $W_1^G = W_2^G = 0$.)

Proof. Let $w = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \otimes g_j \in (W_1 \otimes_E W_2)^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$ with minimal possible dimension of the E-subspace H in W_1 spanned by $f_1, \dots, f_N$. Then $w \in H \otimes_E W_2$, and therefore, $w \in \sigma(H) \otimes_E W_2$ for any $\sigma \in G_{F/\overline{L}}$. Suppose that $f_j \notin W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$ for some j and that there is $\sigma \in G_{F/\overline{L}}$ such that $H \neq \sigma(H)$. Then $w \in (H \otimes_E W_2) \cap (\sigma(H) \otimes_E W_2) = H' \otimes_E W_2$, where $H' = H \cap \sigma(H)$ is a proper E-subspace in H, contradicting the minimality of dimension of H. This means that either $f_j \in W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$ for all j, i.e., $w \in W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_2$, or $H = \sigma(H)$ for any $\sigma \in G_{F/\overline{L}}$. In the first case one obviously has $w \in W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_2^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$.

If $H = \sigma(H)$ for any $\sigma \in G_{F/\overline{L}}$ and $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F/L) = \infty$ then H is a smooth semi-linear representation of $G_{F/\overline{L}}$ of finite degree, which is trivial by Prop.5.4 of [R] in the case $E = F$ and by Theorem 2.9 of [R] if E is a trivial G-module, i.e., $w \in H \otimes_E W_2 \subset (E \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}) \otimes_E W_2$, and therefore, $w \in W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_2^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}$.

For arbitrary L one has (cf. Lemma 6.1 of [R])

$$\begin{aligned}(W_1 \otimes_E W_2)^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} &= \bigcup_{K \subset \overline{L}} (W_1 \otimes_E W_2)^{G_{F/\overline{K}}} \\ &= \bigcup_{K \subset \overline{L}} W_1^{G_{F/\overline{K}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{K}}}} W_2^{G_{F/\overline{K}}} = W_1^{G_{F/\overline{L}}} \otimes_{E^{G_{F/\overline{L}}}} W_2^{G_{F/\overline{L}}},\end{aligned}$$

where K runs over the set of subfields of finite type over k. $\square$

Proposition 7.6. *Let $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$ and $q \geq 0$ be an integer. Then*

- *any morphism $W \xrightarrow{\varphi} \left(\Omega^1_{F/k}\right)^{\otimes^q_F}$ factors through $W \longrightarrow \Omega^q_{F/k} \subseteq \left(\Omega^1_{F/k}\right)^{\otimes^q_F}$;*
- *for any smooth proper variety Y over k an embedding $k(Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} F$ induces an injection $\varphi(W) \bigcap \iota_* \Omega^q_{k(Y)/k} \hookrightarrow \Gamma(Y, \Omega^q_{Y/k})$ and there are the following canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(C_{k(Y)}, {\bigotimes}^{\bullet}_F \Omega^1_{F/k}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Gamma(Y, \Omega^{\bullet}_{Y/k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_G(CH_0(Y_F), {\bigotimes}^{\bullet}_F \Omega^1_{F/k}). \tag{7}$$

Proof. Replacing W with $\varphi(W)$, we may suppose that $W \subset \left(\Omega^1_{F/k}\right)^{\otimes^q_F}$. Let ω be a non-zero element of W. There is an extension $L \subset F$ of finite type over k such that $\omega \in W^{G_{F/L}}$. Let Y be a smooth projective model of L over k. Then ω can be considered as a rational section of the coherent sheaf $\Omega^q_{Y^q/k}|_{\Delta_Y}$.

If the divisor $(\omega)_\infty$ of poles of ω is non-zero, then there exists a generically finite rational map $f=(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y}):Y\dashrightarrow\mathbb{A}_k^{\dim Y}$ well-defined at generic points of irreducible components of $(\omega)_\infty$ and separating them.

Then the direct image $\mathrm{tr}_{/k(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y})}(\omega)$ of ω in $\Omega^q_{(\mathbb{A}_k^{\dim Y})^q/k}|_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}_k^{\dim Y}}}$ (in other words, in $\left(\Omega^1_{k(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y})/k}\right)^{\otimes^q_{k(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y})}}$) has poles, and in particular, it is non-zero.

This means that $\mathrm{tr}_{/k(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y})}(\omega)$ is a non-zero element of $W^{G_{F/k(f_1,\dots,f_{\dim Y})}}=W^G$.

On the other hand, $W^G\subseteq(\left(\Omega^1_{F/k}\right)^{\otimes^q_F})^G=0$ if $q\geq 1$.

Let $\omega\in(\Omega^1_{L/k})^{\otimes^q_L}\backslash\Omega^q_{L/k}$ and $q\geq 2$. Fix a transcendence basis $x_1,\dots,x_{\dim Y}$ of the extension L/k. Then one has $\omega=\sum_I f_I dx_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes dx_{i_q}$.

If there is a non-zero summand such that dx_i appears $s\geq 2$ times then (after renumbering of the coordinates) we may suppose that dx_1 appears s times.

Otherwise, (after renumbering of the coordinates) we may suppose that one of the summands is $f_{1\dots q}dx_1\otimes\cdots\otimes dx_q$ and $f_{123\dots q}\neq -f_{213\dots q}$. Replacing x_2 with x_2+x_1, we get the summand $-(f_{123\dots q}+f_{213\dots q})dx_1\otimes dx_1\otimes dx_3\otimes\cdots\otimes dx_q$.

This means that $f_J\neq 0$, where 1 appears in $J=(i_1\dots i_q)$ exactly $s\geq 2$ times.

Let L_0 be the algebraic closure of $k(x_2,\dots,x_{\dim Y})$ in L, and g be the genus of a smooth proper model X of L over L_0. Let $L_1\subset F$ be a finite extension of L_0 such that X has a rational L_1-point P. Let x_1 be a local coordinate on X_{L_1} in a neighbourhood of P and $f_J(P)\neq 0$. By Riemann–Roch theorem, for any effective reduced divisor D on X of degree $\geq 2g$ disjoint from P there is a function $X_{L_1}\xrightarrow{z}\mathbb{P}^1_{L_1}$ such that $z^{-1}(0)=s\cdot P+D$.

Let u be a local coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1_{L_1}$ in the formal neighbourhood V_P of 0. There is a local coordinate t on X_{L_1} in a neighbourhood of P such that $t^s=u\circ z$.

Then ω is a sum of $g_J dx_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes dx_{i_q}$, where dt appears s times and $g_J(P)\neq 0$, and some independent tensors. This implies that $\pi_*\omega$ is a sum of $(\pi|_{V_P})_*(g_J)s^{-s}u^{1-s}dx_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes dx_{i_q}$, where du appears s times, some terms holomorphic at 0, and some independent tensors. So $\pi_*\omega$ has a pole, and in particular, it is non-zero. Here $Z\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathbb{P}^1\times S$ is a thickening of z, Z is a model of LL_1 over k, and S is a model of L_1 over k.

Both maps of (7) are injective. Any morphism in question is determined by its value ω on the generator ι of $C_{k(Y)}$ (or of $CH_0(Y)$). As ω is fixed by $G_{F/\iota(k(Y))}$, the above implies that ι identifies ω with a regular differential form on Y. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *For any integer $q\geq 0$ the semi-linear G-representation $\Omega^q_{F/k}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let ω be a non-zero element of $\Omega^q_{F/k}$. There is an extension L of k of finite type in F such that $\omega\in\Omega^q_{L/k}$. Choose a transcendence basis $x_1,x_2,\dots$ of L over k.

Then $\omega=\sum_{I=(i_1<\cdots<i_q)}f_I dx_I$, where $dx_I:=dx_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_{i_q}$. Let $\eta=\sum_{I=(i_1<\cdots<i_q)}g_I dx_I$ be a non-zero element in the semi-linear G-subrepresentation $\langle\omega\rangle_{F\langle G\rangle}$ generated by ω such that the number N of non-zero g_I's is minimal. If $N\geq 2$ and $g_J\neq 0$ then, for some $\sigma\in G$

with $\sigma x_i = \lambda_i x_i + \mu_i$, where $\lambda_i \in k^\times$, $\mu_i \in k$, $\prod_{i\in J}\lambda_i = 1$, consider $\eta/g_J - \sigma(\eta/g_J) = \sum_{I\neq J}\bigl(g_I/g_J - \sigma(g_I/g_J)\prod_{i\in I}\lambda_i\bigr)\,dx_I$.

Then $\eta/g_J - \sigma(\eta/g_J)$ is a 'shorter' non-zero element in $\langle\omega\rangle_{F\langle G\rangle}$. This contradicts our assumption, so $\langle\omega\rangle_{F\langle G\rangle}$ contains dx_I, and therefore, $\langle\omega\rangle_{F\langle G\rangle} = \Omega^q_{F/k}$. □

Corollary 7.8. *If Conjecture holds then any irreducible object of $\mathcal{I}_G$ is admissible. If, moreover, numerical equivalence coincides with homological then there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{covariant motives over } k\\ \text{modulo numerical equivalence}\end{array}\right\} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}^\bullet} \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{graded semi-simple admissible}\\ \text{representations of } G \text{ of finite length}\end{array}\right\}.$$

Proof. Let W be an irreducible object of $\mathcal{I}_G$. There is a smooth proper variety Y over k and a surjection $C_{k(Y)} \twoheadrightarrow W$. Assuming Conjecture, Proposition 7.6 implies that W can be embedded to $\Omega^q_{F/k}$ for an appropriate integer $q \geq 0$. As $\mathrm{Hom}_G(C_{k(Y)}, \Omega^q_{F/k}) = \Gamma(Y, \Omega^q_{Y/k})$, any homomorphism $C_{k(Y)} \longrightarrow \Omega^q_{F/k}$ factors through $A^{\dim Y}(Y_F)$, where A^* is the space of cycles "modulo (de Rham) homological equivalence over k". More precisely, $A^*(Y_F)$ is the image of $CH_0(Y_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $H^{2*}_{dR/k}(Y_F)$. As $A^{\dim Y}(Y_F)$ is admissible, so is its quotient W.

The fully faithful functor $\mathbb{B}^\bullet$ from [R] is given by the graded sum $\oplus_i \varinjlim_L \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Prim}_L \otimes \mathbb{L}^{\otimes i}, -)$, where $\mathbb{L}$ is the Lefschetz motive, and $\mathrm{Prim}_L = \bigcap_\varphi \ker\varphi$ with φ runing over all morphisms $Z \longrightarrow M \otimes \mathbb{L}$ for a smooth proper model Z of L over k and effective motives M.

It suffices to show that any irreducible admissible representation of G is the degree-zero component of $\mathbb{B}^\bullet(M)$ for a motive M. As W is a quotient of $A^{\dim Y}(Y_F)$, this follows from the fact that $A^{\dim Y}(Y_F)$ coincides with the degree-zero component of $\mathbb{B}^\bullet(Y)$, if numerical equivalence coincides with homological. □

For a smooth proper k-variety X denote by $C^\circ_{k(X)}$ the kernel of the morphism $C_{k(X)} \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Q}$, and by $\mathcal{F}^2C_{k(X)}$ the kernel of the morphism $C^\circ_{k(X)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alb}X(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induced by the Albanese map.

Corollary 7.9. *If Conjecture holds then the folowing conditions are equivalent:*

- *the Albanese map identifies $CH_0(X_F)^0$ with $\mathrm{Alb}X(F)$;*
- $\mathcal{F}^2C_{k(X)} = 0$*;*
- $\Gamma(X, \Omega^q_{X/k}) = 0$ *for all $q \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.24 of [R], the cyclic G-module $C_{k(X)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathrm{Alb}X(F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathcal{F}^2C_{k(X)}$, so the G-module $\mathcal{F}^2C_{k(X)}$ is cyclic, and therefore, it admits an irreducible quotient W, which is non-zero if $\mathcal{F}^2C_{k(X)}$ is non-zero itself. As $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$, Conjecture implies that there is an integer $q \geq 0$ and an embedding $W \hookrightarrow \Omega^q_{F/k}$.

However, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(C_{k(X)}, \Omega^q_{F/k}) = \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathrm{Alb}X(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Omega^q_{F/k})$ if $q \leq 1$, so one has $q \geq 2$. This means that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(C_{k(X)}, \Omega^q_{F/k}) = \Gamma(X, \Omega^q_{X/k})$ is non-zero for some $q \geq 2$. □

Appendix A. Semi-linear representations of PGL of degree one

Fix an n-dimensional projective k-space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and a complement to a hyperlane $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n_k/k) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and $L = k(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$ be the function field of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Let $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n+1} \in \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$ act on L by $x_j \longmapsto \frac{A_{1j}x_1 + \dots + A_{nj}x_n + A_{n+1,j}}{A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \dots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1}}$.

The aim of this section is to show that in characteristic zero any L-semi-linear G-representations of degree one is a 'rational L-tensor power' of the space $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ of differential forms on L over k of top degree up to a character of a torsion quotient of $k^\times$.

Proposition A.1. *For any characteristic zero field k one has $H^1(G, L^\times/k^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $k^n \cong U_0 \subset G$ be the translation group of $\mathbb{A}$. First, by induction on n, we check that $H^1(U_0, L^\times/k^\times) = 0$, and in particular $H^1(U_0, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(U_0, L^\times)$.

Let $\lambda_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in U_0$ and $U_0' = k^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset U_0$. For any collection $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$ presenting a class in $H^1(U_0, L^\times/k^\times)$ and any $\lambda, \mu \in U_0$ one has $f_\lambda(x+\mu)/f_\lambda(x) = f_\mu(x+\lambda)/f_\mu(x)$. Multiplying f_λ with rational functions of type $h(x+\lambda)/h(x)$ (which does not change the cohomology class), we may suppose that there are no pairs of irreducible components of the support of the divisor of f_{λ_0} that differ by a translation by an integer multiple of λ_0.

Then, for any $\mu \notin \frac{1}{N}\lambda_0\mathbb{Z}$ with a sufficiently big integer N there are no pairs of irreducible components of the support of the divisor of $f_{\lambda_0}(x+\mu)/f_{\lambda_0}(x)$ that differ by a translation by an integer multiple of λ_0, and therefore, $f_{\lambda_0}(x+\mu)/f_{\lambda_0}(x) = f_\mu(x+\lambda_0)/f_\mu(x)$ if and only if $f_{\lambda_0}(x+\mu)/f_{\lambda_0}(x)$ is constant, which means that $f_{\lambda_0}(x)$ is constant itself.

This implies also that for any $\mu \in U_0$ one has, $f_\mu(x) \in k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^\times$, and thus, for any $\lambda \in \lambda_0 \cdot k$ we get $f_\lambda(x+\mu)/f_\lambda(x) = 1$, i.e., $f_\lambda(x) \in k^\times$. By the induction assumption, there is some $g \in k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^\times$ such that $f_\lambda(x)g(x)/g(x+\lambda) \in k^\times$ for all $\lambda \in U_0'$, and thus, $f_\lambda(x)g(x)/g(x+\lambda) \in k^\times$ for all $\lambda \in U_0$, i.e., $H^1(U_0, L^\times/k^\times) = 0$.

The Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the normal subgroup U_0 of the stabilizer P_- of the hyperplane at infinity $\{(a_1 : \dots : a_n : 0)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ in G gives:

$$E_2^{*,0} = H^*(P_-/U_0, H^0(U_0, L^\times/k^\times)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2^{*,1} = H^*(P_-/U_0, H^1(U_0, L^\times/k^\times)) = 0,$$

so we get $H^1(P_-, L^\times/k^\times) = 0$.

As the stabilizer P of the hyperplane $\{(0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ in G is conjugated to the subgroup P_- of G, one has $H^1(P, L^\times/k^\times) = 0$, so any element of $H^1(G, L^\times/k^\times)$ can be presented by a cocycle sending any element of P_- to 1, and sending any element A of P to $f(x)^{-1} \cdot Af(x)$ for some $f(x) \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\times/k^\times$.

In the matrix form the subgroups P_-, P and $P \cap P_-$ look, respectively, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ * & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} * & \dots & * & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ * & \dots & * & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \dots & * & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ * & * & \dots & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $f(x) \in (L^\times/k^\times)^{P \cap P_-} = x_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$, so $f(x) = x_1^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This shows that $f_A = (A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1})^{-m_1}$ for any $A \in P$.

Since the subgroups P_- and P generate $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$, one has

$$H^1(G, L^\times/k^\times) = \left\{ f_A = (A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1})^{-m} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}. \qquad \square$$

Corollary A.2. *For any field k of characteristic zero there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(k^\times/(k^\times)^{n+1}, k^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(G, L^\times) \xrightarrow{p} H^1(G, L^\times/k^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\longrightarrow \mathrm{image}\left[\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(k^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}\right] \longrightarrow 0.$$

The class[4] *of $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ generates a subgroup of index $n+1$ in $H^1(G, L^\times/k^\times)$.*

In particular, the number of $(n+1)$-st roots of unity in k divides the order of $\mathrm{coker} p$ *(thus, $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ generates $H^1(G, L^\times)$ if k contains all $(n+1)$-st roots of unity and $k^\times$ is $(n+1)$-divisible).*

Example. If $k = \mathbb{R}$ then p is bijective for even n, and $\#\mathrm{coker} p = \#\ker p = 2$ for odd n.

Proof. Since the commutant of G coincides with $\mathrm{PSL}_{n+1}k$, the determinant induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(k^\times/(k^\times)^{n+1}, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$, so the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow k^\times \longrightarrow L^\times \longrightarrow L^\times/k^\times \longrightarrow 1$$

gives the map p and determines its kernel. To identify its cokernel, suppose that the 1-cocycle

$$A = (A_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n+1} \longmapsto (A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1})^{-m}$$

on G with values in $L^\times/k^\times$ can be lifted to a 1-cocycle $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n+1} \longmapsto \Phi(A) \cdot (A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1})^{-m}$ on G (considered as a 1-cocycle on $GL(Q)$ for an $(n+1)$-dimensional k-vector space Q) with values in $L^\times$. Then $\Phi : GL(Q) \longrightarrow k^\times$ is a homomorphism, and thus, Φ factors through the determinant: $\Phi(A) = \phi(\det A)$ for a homomorphism $k^\times \xrightarrow{\phi} k^\times$. The cocycle on $GL(Q)$ defined by Φ descends to a cocycle on G if and only if Φ is homogeneous of degree m, so $\phi(\lambda)^{n+1} = \lambda^m$. This implies that m, considered as element of $\mathrm{End}(k^\times) \supset \mathbb{Z}$, should be divisible by $n+1$.

As any endomorphism of $k^\times$ induces an endomorphism of the subgroup of $(n+1)$-st roots of unity, if k contains t out of $n+1$ roots of unity of order $n+1$, then $n+1$ divides m as element of $\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$, which simply means that $m \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$. $\square$

Appendix B. Semi-linear representations of degree one of a subgroup of the Cremona group

As in the previous section, we fix an n-dimensional projective k-space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and some affine coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Let $P = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n_k/k) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}k$ be the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ (denoted by G in Appendix A), and $L = k(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$ be the function field of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Let G be the subgroup of the Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_n(k) = \mathrm{Aut}(L/k)$ generated by P and by the involution σ such that $\sigma x_1 = x_1^{-1}$ and $\sigma x_j = x_j$ for all $2 \le j \le n$.

---

[4] of the cocycle $(\sigma \longmapsto \sigma\omega/\omega) \in H^1(G, L^\times)$ for any non-zero n-form $\omega \in \Omega^n_{L/k}$

The aim of this section is to show that in characteristic zero any L-semi-linear G-representations of degree one is an integral L-tensor power of the space $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ of differential forms on L.

Proposition B.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose that either n is even, or $k^\times$ is 2-divisible. Then the isomorphism class of $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ generates the group $H^1(G, L^\times)$.*

Proof. Let (a_τ) be a 1-cocycle on G. We may suppose that (in notation of the proof of Corollary A.2) the restriction of (a_τ) to P coincides with $A \longmapsto \phi(\det A) \cdot (A_{1,n+1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{n,n+1}x_n + A_{n+1,n+1})^{-m}$ for a homomorphism $k^\times \xrightarrow{\phi} k^\times$.

Let $T \subset P$ be the maximal torus subgroup such that $\tau x_j/x_j =: \lambda_j(\tau) \in k^\times$ for any $\tau \in T$ and any $1 \le j \le n$. Then σ normalizes T and for any $\tau \in T$ one has $\lambda_j(\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma) = \lambda_j(\tau)^{1-2\delta_{1,j}}$, and $a_\tau = \phi(\lambda_1(\tau) \cdots \lambda_n(\tau))$. As $a_{\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma} = \phi(\lambda_1(\tau)^{-1} \cdot \lambda_2(\tau) \cdots \lambda_n(\tau))$ and $a_\tau = a_\sigma \cdot \sigma a_{\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma} \cdot \tau a_\sigma^{-1}$, this implies that $\tau a_\sigma^{-1} \cdot a_\sigma = \phi(\lambda_1(\tau)^2)$.

This means, in particular, that a_σ does not depend on the variables $x_2, \dots, x_n$, i.e., $a_\sigma \in k(x_1)^\times$, and $a_\sigma(\lambda_1 x_1) = \phi(\lambda_1^{-2}) \cdot a_\sigma(x_1)$ for any $\lambda_1 \in k^\times$.

It is now clear that $a_\sigma(x_1)$ is homogeneous, say of degree $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\phi(\lambda^2) = \lambda^{-s}$. Evaluating both sides at -1, we see that s is even. Recall from the proof of Corollary A.2 that $\phi(\lambda)^{n+1} = \lambda^m$, so $\lambda^{-s(n+1)} = \phi(\lambda^2)^{n+1} = \lambda^{2m}$, and thus, $m = -\frac{s}{2}(n+1)$ is divisible by $n+1$. Then $a_\sigma(x_1) = c \cdot x_1^{-2m/(n+1)}$ for some $c \in k^\times$, so (a_τ) is the product on an integer power of the class of $\Omega^n_{L/k}$ and a homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{c} k^\times$.

One has $c : P \xrightarrow{\det} k^\times/(k^\times)^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} k^\times$. As $\sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1}$ for any $\tau = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, 1, \dots, 1) \in P$, we get $c(\tau)^2 = \phi(\lambda)^2 = 1$ for any $\lambda \in k^\times$. If either n is even, or $k^\times$ is 2-divisible, this implies that $\phi \equiv 1$, so $c(P) = \{1\}$.

Let ι_{01} be the involution in P sending $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to $(1/x_1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_n/x_1)$, $s_1 = \iota_{01}\sigma\iota_{01} : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto (1/x_1, x_2/x_1^2, \dots, x_n/x_1^2)$ and $s_0 : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto (x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1})$. The element s_0 belongs to G, since it is the product of the elements $\iota_{1j}\sigma\iota_{1j}$ for all $1 \le j \le n$, where ι_{1j} are involutions in P such that $\iota_{1j}x_s = x_s$ for $s \notin \{1, j\}$ and $\iota_{1j}x_1 = x_j$. Let g_0 be the involution in P sending $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to $(\frac{x_1}{x_1-1}, \frac{x_2}{x_1-1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_1-1})$. Then one has the following well-known identity in G: $s_1 = g_0 s_0 g_0 s_0 g_0$. Then for the homomorphism c as above one has $c(\sigma) = c(s_1) = c(g_0)^3 c(s_0)^2 = c(s_0)^2$. As $s_0^2 = 1$, this implies that $c(\sigma) = 1$. ☐

Remarks. 1. Let $\tilde{G}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cr}_n(k)$ generated by G and the involution ξ such that $\xi x_1 = x_1^{-1}$, $\xi x_2 = x_1^{-1}x_2$ and $\xi x_j = x_j$ for any $3 \le j \le n$. Then Proposition B.1 remains valid if G is replaced by $\tilde{G}$. Almost the same proof goes through.

2. If k is algebraically closed and $n = 2$ then by M.Noether theorem $G = \mathrm{Cr}_2(k)$.

Proposition B.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $\mathcal{A}$ a noetherian algebraic group scheme over a ring R and $n \ge 2$. Then* $\mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{A}(R)) = \{1\}$.

Proof. It was shown at the end of the proof of Proposition B.1 that there are no proper normal subgroups of G containing P. As P is simple (generated by any non-trivial conjugacy class), there are no proper normal subgroups of G containing a non-trivial element of P.

Let the elements of $\mathrm{Cr}_2(k)$ act identically on $k(x_3, \dots, x_n)$. Then $\mathrm{Cr}_2(k)$ becomes a subgroup in $\mathrm{Cr}_n(k)$. By M.Noether theorem, $\mathrm{Cr}_2(k)$ is generated by σ, ξ and $A_2 := P \bigcap \mathrm{Cr}_2(k)$.

Denote by $H \cong k(x_2) \rtimes k^\times$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cr}_2(k) \subseteq \tilde{G}$ consisting of elements $\tau = (q(x_2), b)$ such that $\tau x_1 = x_1 + q(x_2)$ and $\tau x_2 = b \cdot x_2$ for some $q(x_2) \in k(x_2)$ and $b \in k^\times$.

For any $N \geq 3$ and any primitive $N!$-th root of unity $\zeta_{N!}$ the centralizer of $(0, \zeta_{N!})$ in H is $k(x_2^{N!}) \rtimes k^\times$.

Let $\rho : \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ be a homomorphism. First, we show that $\ker \rho \bigcap H \bigcap A_2 \neq \{1\}$.

Suppose that $\ker \rho \bigcap H = \{1\}$. Then $H \overset{\rho}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{A}(R)$, and thus, the centralizer of $(0, \zeta_{N!})$ in H is the intersection of H with the centralizer of $(0, \zeta_{N!})$ in $\mathcal{A}(R)$. The centralizer of an element of $\mathcal{A}(R)$ is the group of R-points of a closed subgroup in $\mathcal{A}$, so any descending sequence of centralizers should stabilize. This is not the case for the sequence $(k(x_2^{N!}) \rtimes k^\times)_{N \geq 1}$.

Let $(q_1(x_2), b) \in \ker \rho \bigcap H - \{1\}$. If $b \neq 1$ then

$$(x_2, 1)(q_1(x_2), b)(x_2, 1)^{-1}(q_1(x_2), b)^{-1} = (x_2 + q_1(x_2), b)(-x_2, 1)(-q_1(b^{-1}x_2), b^{-1})$$
$$= ((1-b)x_2 + q_1(x_2), b)(-q_1(b^{-1}x_2), b^{-1}) = ((1-b)x_2, 1) \in \ker \rho \bigcap H - \{1\},$$

so there is $(q(x_2), 1) \in \ker \rho \bigcap H - \{1\}$.

It is easy to see using prime decomposition of q that $(x_1, x_2) \overset{\alpha}{\longmapsto} (q(x_2)x_1, x_2)$ is an element of $\tilde{G}$ (or, by M.Noether theorem, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cr}_2(k) \subseteq \tilde{G}$), so $\alpha(q(x_2), 1)\alpha^{-1} \in \ker \rho \bigcap H$.

But $(x_1, x_2) \overset{\alpha(q,1)\alpha^{-1}}{\longmapsto} (x_1 + 1, x_2)$ is a non-trivial element of A_2, so $\ker \rho \bigcap H \bigcap A_2 \neq \{1\}$.

As $\ker \rho$ is a normal subgroup in $\tilde{G}$, this implies that $\ker \rho \supseteq G$, so the image of ρ is $\{1, \rho(\xi)\}$. The Euclid algorithm shows that σ, ξ and the permutations of the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n\mathbb{Z}$. The commutators and σ generate a subgroup contaning the congruence subgroup $\ker(\mathrm{GL}_n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ is the field of 2 elements, so the image of ρ is isomorphic to the image of some homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, sending σ to 1. For any $n \geq 3$ the group $\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{F}_2$ is simple, so $\ker \rho = \tilde{G}$, □

Acknowledgement. A part of this work was done at the Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy during the Semester 'Arithmétique et Théorie de l'Information' in Spring 2003. I am grateful to its organizers Yves Aubry, Gilles Lachaud, Mikhail Tsfasman for the invitation and to CNRS for financial support in April–June 2003.

REFERENCES

[O] O.Ore, *Theory of non-commutative polynomials,* Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 3, 480–508.

[R] M.Rovinsky, *Motives and admissible representations of automorphism groups of fields,* Math.Z., to appear.

Independent University of Moscow
121002 Moscow
B.Vlasievsky Per. 11
`marat@mccme.ru`

and

Institute for Information
Transmission Problems
of Russian Academy of Sciences